[7590-01-P]

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION**

10 CFR Parts 170 and 171 RIN 3150-AJ03 [NRC-2011-0207]

Revision of Fee Schedules; Fee Recovery for Fiscal Year 2012

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is proposing to amend the licensing, inspection, and annual fees charged to its applicants and licensees. The proposed amendments are necessary to implement the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 (OBRA-90), as amended, which requires the NRC to recover through fees approximately 90 percent of its budget authority in Fiscal Year (FY) 2012, not including amounts appropriated for Waste Incidental to Reprocessing (WIR), and amounts appropriated for generic homeland security activities. President Obama signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2012 on December 23, 2011, giving the NRC a total appropriation of \$1,038.1 million for FY 2012. The FY 2012 proposed fee rule, based on the FY 2012 appropriation, would require the NRC to recover fees of approximately \$909.5 million from licensees. After accounting for billing adjustments, the total amount to be billed as fees is approximately \$901 million.

**DATES:** Submit comments on the proposed rule by **[INSERT DATE 30 DAYS AFTER] PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]**. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date. Because OBRA-90 requires that the NRC collect the FY 2012 fees by September 30, 2012, requests for extensions of the comment period will not be granted.

**ADDRESSES:** You may access information and comment submissions related to this proposed rulemaking, which the NRC possesses and is publicly available, by searching on <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> under Docket ID **NRC-2011-0207**. You may submit comments by the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Web site: Go to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> and search for Docket ID NRC-2011-0207. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301-492-3668; e-mail: <a href="mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov">Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov</a>.
- E-mail comments to: <u>Rulemaking.Comments@nrc.gov</u>. If you do not receive an automatic e-mail reply confirming receipt, then contact us at 301-415-1677.
- **Fax comments to:** Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at 301-415-1101.
- Mail comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington,
   DC 20555-0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.
- Hand deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. (Eastern Time) Federal workdays; telephone: 301-415-1677.

For additional direction on accessing information and submitting comments, see "Accessing Information and Submitting Comments" in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Arlette Howard, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001; telephone: 301-415-1481, e-mail: *Arlette.Howard@nrc.gov*.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

- I. Accessing Information and Submitting Comments
- II. Background
- III. Proposed Action
  - A. Amendments to Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 170: Fees for Facilities, Materials, Import and Export Licenses, and Other Regulatory Services under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended
  - B. Amendments to 10 CFR Part 171: Annual Fees for Reactor Licenses and Fuel Cycle
     Licenses and Materials Licenses, Including Holders of Certificates of Compliance,
     Registrations, and Quality Assurance Program Approvals and Government Agencies
     Licensed by the NRC
- IV. Plain Writing
- V. Availability of Documents
- VI. Voluntary Consensus Standards
- VII. Environmental Impact: Categorical Exclusion
- VIII. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement
- IX. Regulatory Analysis
- X. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
- XI. Backfit Analysis

# I. Accessing Information and Submitting Comments

## A. Accessing Information

Please refer to Docket ID **NRC-2011-0207** when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this proposed rule. You may access information related to this proposed rulemaking, which the NRC possesses and is publicly available, by the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Web Site: Go to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> and search for Docket ID NRC-2011-0207.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS):

  You may access publicly available documents online in the NRC Library at

  <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a>. To begin the search, select "ADAMS Public

  Documents" and then select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr.resource@nrc.gov. The ADAMS accession number for each document referenced in this notice (if that document is available in ADAMS) is provided the first time that a document is referenced. In addition, for the convenience of the reader, the ADAMS accession numbers are provided in a table in Section V, Availability of Documents, of this document.
- NRC's PDR: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC's PDR, Room O1-F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

## B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID **NRC-2011-0207** in the subject line of your comment submission, in order to ensure that the NRC is able to make your comment submission available to the public in this docket.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed. The NRC posts all comment submissions at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> as well as entering the comment submissions into ADAMS, and the NRC does not edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information in their comment submissions that they do not want to be publicly disclosed. Your request should state that the NRC will not edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

To obtain additional information on the NRC's FY 2012 budget request, commenters and others may review NUREG-1100, Volume 27, "Congressional Budget Justification: Fiscal Year 2012" (February 2011), which describes the NRC's budget for FY 2012, including the activities to be performed in each program. This document is available on the NRC's public Web site at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1100/v27/">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1100/v27/</a>. The allocation of the budget to each fee class and fee-relief category is included in the publicly available work papers supporting this rulemaking (ADAMS Accession No. ML12040A341).

## II. Background

Over the past 40 years the NRC, (and earlier as the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), the NRC's predecessor agency), has assessed and continues to assess fees to applicants and licensees to recover the cost of its regulatory program. The NRC's cost recovery principles for fee regulation are governed by two major laws, the Independent Offices Appropriations Act of 1952 (IOAA) (31 U.S.C. 483 (a)) and OBRA-90 (42 U.S.C. 2214), as amended. The NRC is required each year, under OBRA-90, as amended, to recover approximately 90 percent of its budget authority, not including amounts appropriated from the Nuclear Waste Fund, amounts appropriated for WIR, and amounts appropriated for generic homeland security activities (nonfee items), through fees to NRC licensees and applicants. The following discussion explains the various court decisions, congressional mandates and Commission policy which form the basis for the NRC's current fee policy and cost recovery methodology, which forms the basis for this rulemaking.

## Establishment of fee policy and cost recovery methodology.

In 1968, the AEC adopted its first license fee schedule in response to Title V of the IOAA. This statute authorized and encouraged Federal regulatory agencies to recover to the fullest extent possible costs attributable to services provided to identifiable recipients. The AEC established fees under 10 CFR part 170 in two sections, § 170.21 and § 170.31. Section 170.21 established a flat application fee for filing applications for nuclear power plant construction permits. Fees were set by a sliding scale, depending on plant size, for construction permits and operating license fees, and annual fees were levied on holders of Commission operating licenses under 10 CFR part 50. Section 170.31 established application fees and annual fees for materials licenses. Between 1971 and 1973, the 10 CFR part 170 fee

schedules were adjusted to account for increased costs resulting from expanded services which included health and safety inspection services and manufacturing licenses and environmental and antitrust reviews. The annual fees assessed by the Commission began to include inspection costs and the material fee schedule expanded from 16 to 28 categories for fee assessment. During this period, the schedules continued to be modified based on the Commission's policy to recover costs attributable to identifiable beneficiaries for the processing of applications, permits and licenses, amendments to existing licenses, and health and safety inspections relating to the licensing process.

On March 4, 1974, the U.S. Supreme Court rendered major decisions in two cases, *National Cable Television Association, Inc. v. United States*, 415 U.S. 36 (1974) and *Federal Power Commission v. New England Power Company*, 415 U.S. 345 (1974), regarding the charging of fees by Federal agencies. The Court held that the IOAA authorizes an agency to charge fees for special benefits rendered to identifiable persons measured by the "value to the recipient" of the agency service. The Court, thus, invalidated the Federal Power Commission's annual fee rule because its fee structure assessed annual fees against the regulated industry at large without considering whether anyone had received benefits from any Commission services during the year in question. As a result of these decisions, the AEC promptly eliminated annual licensing fees and issued refunds to licensees, but left the remainder of the fee schedule unchanged.

In November 1974, the AEC published proposed revisions to its license fee schedule (39 FR 39734; November 11, 1974). The Commission reviewed public comments while simultaneously considering alternative approaches for the proper evaluation of expanding services and proper assessment based upon increasing costs of Commission services.

While this effort was under way, the Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia issued four opinions in fee cases—*National Cable Television Assoc. v. FCC*, 554 F.2d 1094 (D.C. Cir. 1976); *National Association of Broadcasters v. FCC*, 554 F.2d 1118 (D.C. Cir. 1976); *Electronic* 

Industries Association v. FCC, 554 F.2d 1109 (D.C. Cir. 1976); and Capital Cities

Communication, Inc. v. FCC, 554 F.2d 1135 (D.C. Cir. 1976). These decisions invalidated the license fee schedules promulgated by the Federal Communications Commission, and they provided the AEC with additional guidance for the prompt adoption and promulgation of an updated licensee fee schedule. Based on the court decisions, the NRC developed new guidelines for use in fee development and the establishment of a new proposed fee schedule.

On January 19, 1975, under the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, the licensing and related regulatory functions of the AEC were transferred to the NRC. The NRC, prompted by recent court decisions concerning fee policy, developed new guidelines for use in fee development and the establishment of a new proposed fee schedule.

The NRC published a summary of guidelines as a proposed rule (42 FR 22149; May 2, 1977), and the Commission held a public meeting to discuss the notice on May 12, 1977. A summary of the comments on the guidelines and the NRC's responses were published in the *Federal Register* (43 FR 7211; February 21, 1978).

The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit upheld the Commission's fee guidelines on August 24, 1979, in *Mississippi Power and Light Co. v. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory*Commission, 601 F.2d 223 (5th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1102 (1980). This court held that --

- 1) The NRC had the authority to recover the full cost of providing services to identifiable beneficiaries;
- 2) The NRC could properly assess a fee for the costs of providing routine inspections necessary to ensure a licensee's compliance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and with applicable regulations;
- 3) The NRC could charge for costs incurred in conducting environmental reviews required by the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321);

- 4) The NRC properly included the costs of uncontested hearings and of administrative and technical support services in the fee schedule;
- 5) The NRC could assess a fee for renewing a license to operate a low-level radioactive waste burial site; and
  - 6) The NRC's fees were not arbitrary or capricious.

# The NRC's current statutory requirement for cost recovery through fees.

In 1986, Congress passed the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) (H.R. 3128), which required the NRC to assess and collect annual charges from persons licensed by the Commission. These charges, when added to other amounts collected by the NRC, totaled about 33 percent of the NRC's estimated budget. In response to this mandate and separate congressional inquiry on NRC fees, the NRC prepared a report on alternative approaches to annual fees and published the decision on annual fees for power reactor operating licenses in 10 CFR part 171 for public comment (51 FR 24078; July 1, 1986). The final rule (51 FR 33224; September 18, 1986) included a summary of the comments and the NRC's related responses. The decision was challenged in the D.C. Circuit and upheld in its entirety in *Florida Power and Light Company v. United States*, 846 F.2d 765 (D.C. Cir. 1988), *cert. denied*, 490 U.S. 1045 (1989).

In 1987, the NRC retained the established annual and 10 CFR part 170 fee schedules in the *Federal Register* (51 FR 33224; September 18, 1986).

In 1988, the NRC was required to collect 45 percent of its budget authority through fees. The NRC published a proposed rule that included an hourly increase recommendation for public comment in the *Federal Register* (53 FR 24077; June 27, 1988). The NRC staff could not properly consider all comments received on the proposed rule. Therefore, on August 12, 1988, the NRC published an interim final rule in the *Federal Register* (53 FR 30423). The interim final rule was limited to changing the 10 CFR part 171 annual fees.

In 1989, the Commission was required to collect 45 percent of its budget authority through fees. The NRC published a proposed fee rule in the *Federal Register* (53 FR 24077; June 25, 1988). A summary of the comments and the NRC's related responses were published in the *Federal Register* (53 FR 52632; December 28, 1988).

On November 5, 1990, with respect to 10 CFR part 171, the Congress passed OBRA-90, requiring that the NRC collect 100 percent of its budget authority, less appropriations from the NWF, through the assessment of fees. The OBRA-90 allowed the NRC to collect user fees for the recovery of the costs of providing special benefits to identifiable applicants and licensees in compliance with 10 CFR part 170 and under the authority of the IOAA (31 U.S.C. 9701). These fees recovered the cost of inspections, applications for new licenses and license renewals, and requests for license amendments. The OBRA-90 also allowed the NRC to recover annual fees under 10 CFR part 171 for generic regulatory costs not otherwise recovered through 10 CFR part 170 fees. In compliance with OBRA-90, the NRC adjusted its fee regulations in 10 CFR part 170 and 171 to be more comprehensive without changing their underlying basis. The NRC published these regulations in a proposed rule for public comment in the *Federal Register* (54 FR 49763; December 1, 1989). The NRC held three public meetings to discuss the proposed changes and questions. A summary of comments and the NRC's related responses were published in the *Federal Register* (55 FR 21173; May 23, 1990).

In FYs 1991 – 2000, the NRC continued to comply with OBRA-90 requirements in its proposed and final rules. In 1991, the NRC's annual fee rule methodology was challenged and upheld by the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals in *Allied Signal v. NRC*, 988 F.2d 146 (D.C. Cir. 1993).

The FY 2001 Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act amended OBRA-90 to decrease the NRC's fee recovery amount by 2 percent per year beginning in FY 2001, until the fee recovery amount was 90 percent in FY 2005.

The FY 2006 Energy and Water Development Appropriation Act extended this 90 percent fee recovery requirement for FY 2006. Section 637 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 made the 90 percent fee recovery requirement permanent in FY 2007.

In addition to the requirements of OBRA-90, as amended, the NRC was also required to comply with the requirements of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996. This Act encouraged small businesses to participate in the regulatory process, and required agencies to develop more accessible sources of information on regulatory and reporting requirements for small businesses and create a small entity compliance guide. The NRC, in order to ensure equitable fee distribution among all licensees, developed a fee methodology specifically for small entities that consisted of a small entity definition and the Small Business Administration's most common receipts-based size standards as described under the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) identifying industry codes. The NAICS is the standard used by Federal statistical agencies to classify business establishments for the purposes of collecting, analyzing, and publishing statistical data related to the U.S. business economy. The purpose of this fee methodology was to lessen the financial impact on small entities through the establishment of a maximum fee at a reduced rate for qualifying licensees.

In FY 2009, the NRC computed the small entity fee based on a biennial adjustment of 39 percent, a fixed percent applied to the prior 2-year weighted average for all fee categories that have small entity licensees. The NRC also used 39 percent to compute the small entity annual fee for FY 2005, the same year the agency was required to recover only 90 percent of its budget authority. The methodology allowed small entity licensees to be able to predict changes in their fees in the biennial year based on the materials users' fees for the previous 2 years. Using a 2-year weighted average lessened the fluctuations caused by programmatic and budget variables within the fee categories for the majority of small entities.

The agency also determined that there should be a lower-tier annual fee based on 22 percent of the maximum small entity annual fee to further reduce the impact of fees. In FY 2011, the NRC applied this methodology which would have resulted in an upper-tier small entity fee of \$3,300, an increase of 74 percent or \$1,400 from FY 2009, and a lower-tier small entity fee of \$700, an increase of 75 percent or \$300 from FY 2009. The NRC determined that implementing this increase would have a disproportionate impact upon small licensees and performed a trend analysis to calculate the appropriate fee tier levels. From FY 2000 to FY 2008, \$2,300 was the maximum upper-tier small entity fee and \$500 was the maximum lower-tier small entity fee. Therefore, in order to lessen financial hardship for small entity licensees, the NRC concluded that for FY 2011 \$2,300 should be the maximum upper-tier small entity fee and \$500 should be the lower-tier small entity fee. For this fee rule, the small entity fees would remain unchanged. The next small entity biennial review is scheduled for FY 2013.

# **III. Proposed Action**

The NRC assesses two types of fees to meet the requirements of OBRA-90. First, user fees, presented in 10 CFR part 170 under the authority of the IOAA, recover the NRC's costs of providing special benefits to identifiable applicants and licensees. For example, the NRC assesses these fees to cover the costs of inspections, applications for new licenses and license renewals, and requests for license amendments. Second, annual fees, presented in 10 CFR part 171 under the authority of OBRA-90, recover generic regulatory costs not otherwise recovered through 10 CFR part 170 fees. Under this rulemaking, the NRC would continue the fee cost recovery principles through the adjustment of fees without changing the underlying principles of the NRC fee policy in order to ensure that the NRC continues to comply with the statutory requirements of OBRA-90, the Atomic Energy Act, and the IOAA.

On December 23, 2011, President Obama signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2012, giving the NRC a total appropriation of \$1,038.1 million. Accordingly, in compliance with the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and OBRA-90, the NRC proposes to amend its licensing, inspection, and annual fees to recover approximately 90 percent of its FY 2012 budget authority less the appropriations for non-fee items. The amount of the NRC's required fee collections is set by law, and is, therefore, outside the scope of this rulemaking.

The NRC's total budget authority for FY 2012 is \$1,038.1 million. The non-fee items excluded outside of the fee base includes \$0.8 million for WIR activities and \$26.7 million for generic homeland security activities. Based on the 90 percent fee-recovery requirement, the NRC is required to recover approximately \$909.5 million in FY 2012 through 10 CFR part 170 licensing and inspection fees and through 10 CFR part 171 annual fees. This amount is \$6.3 million less than the amount estimated for recovery in FY 2011, a decrease of less than 1 percent. The FY 2012 fee recovery amount is decreased by -\$8.5 million to account for billing adjustments (i.e., for FY 2012 invoices that the NRC estimates will not be paid during the fiscal year, less payments received in FY 2012 for prior year invoices). This leaves approximately \$901 million to be billed as fees in FY 2012 through 10 CFR part 170 licensing and inspection fees and 10 CFR part 171 annual fees.

Table I summarizes the budget and fee recovery amounts for FY 2012. The FY 2011 amounts are provided for comparison purposes. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE I. - BUDGET AND FEE RECOVERY AMOUNTS

[Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011 Final Rule	FY 2012 Proposed Rule
Total Budget Authority	\$1,054.1	\$1,038.1

Less Non-Fee Items	<u>-36.5</u>	<u>-27.5</u>
Balance	\$1,017.6	\$1,010.6
Fee Recovery Rate for FY 2012	90%	<u>90%</u>
Total Amount to be Recovered for FY 2012	\$915.8	\$909.5
10 CFR Part 171 Billing Adjustments:		
Unpaid Current Year Invoices (estimated)	3.0	2.3
Less Payments Received in Current Year for Previous Year Invoices (estimated)	<u>-2.6</u>	<u>-10.8</u>
Subtotal	0.4	- 8.5
Amount to be Recovered Through 10 CFR Parts 170 and 171 Fees	\$916.2	\$901.0
Less Estimated 10 CFR Part 170 Fees	<u>-369.3</u>	<u>-371.4</u>
10 CFR Part 171 Fee Collections Required	\$546.9	\$529.6

The NRC estimates that \$371.4 million will be recovered from 10 CFR part 170 fees in FY 2012, which represents a \$2.1 million increase as compared to 10 CFR part 170 collections of \$369.3 million for FY 2011. The NRC derived the FY 2012 estimate of 10 CFR part 170 fee collections based on the latest billing data available for each license fee class, with adjustments to account for changes in the NRC's FY 2012 budget, as appropriate. The remaining \$529.6 million is to be recovered through the 10 CFR part 171 annual fees in FY 2012, which is a decrease of 3.2 percent compared to the estimated 10 CFR part 171 collections of \$546.9 million for FY 2011. The change for each class of licensees affected is discussed in Section III.B.3 of this document.

The NRC plans to publish the final fee rule no later than June 2012. The FY 2012 final fee rule will be a "major rule" as defined by the Congressional Review Act of 1996 (5 U.S.C. 801-808). Therefore, the NRC's fee schedules for FY 2012 will become effective 60 days after publication of the final rule in the *Federal Register*. Upon publication of the final rule, the NRC

will send an invoice for the amount of the annual fees to reactor licensees, 10 CFR part 72 licensees, major fuel cycle facilities, and other licensees with annual fees of \$100,000 or more. For these licensees, payment is due on the effective date of the FY 2012 final rule. Because these licensees are billed quarterly, the payment amount due is the total FY 2012 annual fee less payments made in the first three quarters of the fiscal year.

Materials licensees with annual fees of less than \$100,000 are billed annually. Those materials licensees whose license anniversary date during FY 2012 falls before the effective date of the FY 2012 final rule will be billed for the annual fee during the anniversary month of the license at the FY 2011 annual fee rate. Those materials licensees whose license anniversary date falls on or after the effective date of the FY 2012 final rule will be billed for the annual fee at the FY 2012 annual fee rate during the anniversary month of the license, and payment will be due on the date of the invoice.

The NRC no longer mails the proposed fee rule to licensees, but will send the proposed rule to any licensee or individual upon specific request. To request a copy, contact the Division of Planning and Budget, Budget Operations Branch II, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, at 301-415-1481. In addition to publication in the *Federal Register*, the proposed rule will be available on the Internet at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>.

The NRC is proposing to amend 10 CFR parts 170 and 171 as discussed in Section III.A and III.B of this document.

A. Amendments to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) Part 170: Fees for Facilities, Materials, Import and Export Licenses, and Other Regulatory Services Under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended

In FY 2012, the NRC is proposing to increase the hourly rate to recover the full cost of activities under 10 CFR part 170 and use this rate to calculate "flat" application fees.

The NRC is proposing to make the following changes:

# 1. Hourly Rate.

The NRC's hourly rate is used in assessing full cost fees for specific services provided, as well as flat fees for certain application reviews. The NRC is proposing to change the FY 2012 hourly rate to \$274. This rate would be applicable to all activities for which fees are assessed under §§ 170.21 and 170.31.

The FY 2012 hourly rate is less than one percent higher than the FY 2011 hourly rate of \$273. The increase in the hourly rate is due primarily to higher agency direct budgeted resources, partially offset by a small increase in the number of direct full-time equivalents (FTEs). The following paragraphs described the hourly rate calculation in further detail.

The NRC's hourly rate is derived by dividing the sum of recoverable budgeted resources for 1) mission direct program salaries and benefits; 2) mission indirect program support; and 3) agency corporate support and the Inspector General (IG), by mission direct FTE hours. The mission direct FTE hours are the product of the mission direct FTE multiplied by the hours per direct FTE. The only budgeted resources excluded from the hourly rate are those for contract activities related to mission direct and fee-relief activities.

In FY 2012, the NRC is using 1,371 hours per direct FTE, the same amount as FY 2011, to calculate the hourly fees. The NRC has reviewed data from its time and labor system to determine if the annual direct hours worked per direct FTE estimate requires updating for the FY 2012 fee rule. Based on this review of the most recent data available, the NRC determined that 1,371 hours is the best estimate of direct hours worked annually per direct FTE. This estimate excludes all indirect activities such as training, general administration, and leave.

Table II shows the results of the hourly rate calculation methodology. The FY 2011 amounts are provided for comparison purposes. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

**TABLE II. - HOURLY RATE CALCULATION** 

	FY 2011	FY 2012
	Final Rule	Proposed
		<u>Rule</u>
Mission Direct Program Salaries & Benefits	\$337.4	\$349.9
Mission Indirect Program Support	\$25.9	\$25.9
Agency Corporate Support, and the IG	<u>\$474.1</u>	<u>\$472.3</u>
Subtotal	\$837.4	\$848.0
Less Offsetting Receipts	<u>-\$0.0</u>	<u>-\$0.0</u>
Total Budget Included in Hourly Rate	\$837.4	\$848.0
Mission Direct FTEs	2,236	2,258
Professional Hourly Rate (Total Budget Included in		
Hourly Rate divided by Mission Direct FTE Hours)	\$273	\$274

As shown in Table II, dividing the FY 2012 \$848 million budget amount included in the hourly rate by total mission direct FTE hours (2,257 FTE times 1,371 hours) results in an hourly rate of \$274. The hourly rate is rounded to the nearest whole dollar.

## 2. Flat Application Fee Changes.

The NRC is proposing to adjust the current flat application fees in §§ 170.21 and 170.31 to reflect the revised hourly rate of \$274, an increase of \$1 from FY 2011. These flat fees are calculated by multiplying the average professional staff hours needed to process the licensing actions by the proposed professional hourly rate for FY 2012. The agency estimates the average professional staff hours needed to process licensing actions every other year as part of its biennial review of fees performed in compliance with the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990. The NRC last performed this review as part of the FY 2011 fee rulemaking. The higher hourly rate of \$274 is the primary reason for the increase in application fees.

The amounts of the materials licensing flat fees are rounded so that the fees would be convenient to the user and the effects of rounding would be minimal. Fees under \$1,000 are rounded to the nearest \$10, fees that are greater than \$1,000 but less than \$100,000 are rounded to the nearest \$100, and fees that are greater than \$100,000 are rounded to the nearest \$1,000.

The proposed licensing flat fees are applicable for fee categories K.1. through K.5. of § 170.21, and fee categories 1.C., 1.D., 2.B., 2.C., 3.A. through 3.S., 4.B. through 9.D., 10.B., 15.A. through 15.R., 16, and 17 of § 170.31. Applications filed on or after the effective date of the FY 2012 final fee rule would be subject to the revised fees in the final rule.

#### 3. Administrative Amendments.

This rule would make administrative changes for clarity as follows:

- a. § 170.21, fee category G, change the title for the description from "Other Production and Utilization Facility" to read "Other Production or Utilization Facility."
- b. § 170.31, revise fee schedule. Under 10 CFR part 170, the descriptions for categories 14A and 14B would be revised to add the phrase "including MMLs" in order to capture work activities outside of the category 17 description involving decommissioning actions and activities for master material license (MML) agencies (i.e., U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs, U.S. Navy, U.S. Air Force) and the fees would be subject to full cost. This methodology would ensure equitable fee distribution among licensees by charging the full cost for services over and above routine oversight activities to specific MMLs while minimizing the financial impact of annual fee distribution for all MMLs for the next biennial review.

In summary, the NRC is proposing to make the following changes to 10 CFR part 170:

- Establish a revised professional hourly rate to use in assessing fees for specific services;
  - 2. Revise the license application fees to reflect the FY 2012 hourly rate; and

3. Make administrative changes to §§ 170.21 and 170.31.

B. Amendments to 10 CFR Part 171: Annual Fees for Reactor Licenses and Fuel Cycle
Licenses and Materials Licenses, Including Holders of Certificates of Compliance, Registrations,
and Quality Assurance Program Approvals and Government Agencies Licensed by the NRC

The NRC proposes to use its fee-relief surplus to decrease all licensees' annual fees based on their percentage share of the fee recoverable budget authority. This rulemaking would also make changes to the number of NRC licensees and establish rebaselined annual fees based on Pub. L. 112-10. The amendments are described as follows:

1. Application of Fee-Relief and Low-Level Waste (LLW) Surcharge.

The NRC would use its fee-relief surplus to decrease all licensees' annual fees, based on their percentage share of the budget. The NRC applies the 10 percent of its budget that is excluded from fee recovery under OBRA-90, as amended (fee-relief), to offset the total budget allocated for activities that do not directly benefit current NRC licensees. The budget for these fee-relief activities is totaled and then reduced by the amount of the NRC's fee-relief. Any difference between the fee-relief and the budgeted amount of these activities results in a fee-relief adjustment (increase or decrease) to all licensees' annual fees, based on their percentage share of the budget, which is consistent with the existing fee methodology.

The FY 2012 budget resources for the NRC's fee-relief activities are \$91.1 million. The NRC's 10 percent fee-relief amount in FY 2012 is \$101.1 million, leaving a \$10 million fee-relief surplus that will reduce all licensees' annual fees based on their percentage share of the budget. The FY 2012 budget for fee-relief activities is higher than FY 2011, primarily due to a decrease in budgeted resources for nonprofit educational exemptions, international activities and support agreement states licensees and generic decommissioning reclamation activities. Also, the NRC has included medical isotope production under fee relief categories to capture

program activity for medical isotope production facilities for regulatory basis development. The FY 2012 NRC medical isotope budget of approximately \$3 million is not attributable to existing NRC licensees. The funding for this activity along with other activities not attributable to existing NRC licensees will be offset by the agency's 10 percent appropriation. These values are shown in Table III. The FY 2011 amounts are provided for comparison purposes. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE III. - FEE-RELIEF ACTIVITIES

# [Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011	FY 2012
	Budgeted	<u>Budgeted</u>
<u>Fee-Relief Activities</u>	Costs	Costs
1. Activities not attributable to an existing NRC licensee or class of	\$15.1	\$9.0
licensee:		
a. International activities		
b. Agreement State oversight	\$14.1	\$11.0
The state of the s		<b>4</b>
c. Scholarships and Fellowships	\$11.5	\$16.8
d. Medical Isotope Production	N/A	\$3.4
d. Wedied Toddollon	14// (	ΨΟ. 1
2. Activities not assessed 10 CFR part 170 licensing and		
inspection fees or 10 CFR part 171 annual fees based on		
existing law or Commission policy:		
a. Fee exemption for nonprofit educational institutions	\$13.3	\$11.2
b. Costs not recovered from small entities under 10 CFR 171.16(c)	\$5.6	\$6.5
· · ·		
c. Regulatory support to Agreement States	\$18.0	\$17.5
d. Generic decommissioning/reclamation (not related to the power reactor and spent fuel storage fee classes)		
reactor and spent ruer storage ree classes)	\$16.6	\$14.0
e. <i>In Situ</i> leach rulemaking and unregistered general licensees	\$1.2	\$1.7
and annogherous general morrosoc	<u> </u>	<del>\$</del>

Total fee-relief activities	\$95.4	\$91.1
Less 10 percent of NRC's FY 2011 total budget (less non-fee items)	<u>-\$101.8</u>	<u>-\$101.1</u>
Fee-Relief Adjustment to be Allocated to All Licensees' Annual Fees	\$-6.4	-\$10.0

Table IV shows how the NRC is allocating the \$10 million fee-relief surplus adjustment to each license fee class. As explained previously, the NRC is allocating this fee-relief adjustment to each license fee class based on the percent of the budget for that fee class compared to the NRC's total budget. The fee-relief surplus adjustment is subtracted from the required annual fee recovery for each fee class.

Separately, the NRC has continued to allocate the LLW surcharge based on the volume of LLW disposal of three classes of licenses: operating reactors, fuel facilities, and materials users. Because LLW activities support NRC licensees, the costs of these activities are recovered through annual fees. In FY 2012, this allocation percentage was updated based on review of recent data which reflects the change in the support to the various fee classes. The allocation percentage of LLW surcharge decreased for operating reactors and increased for fuel facilities and materials users compared to FY 2011.

Table IV also shows the allocation of the LLW surcharge activity. For FY 2012, the total budget allocated for LLW activity is \$3.9 million. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE IV. - ALLOCATION OF FEE-RELIEF ADJUSTMENT AND LLW SURCHARGE,

FY 2012

[Dollars i	n mil	lions]
------------	-------	--------

	LLW Sur	charge	Fee-Relief A	djustment	Total
	Percent	<u>\$</u>	Percent	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$</u>
Operating Power Reactors	60.0	2.3	86.0	-8.6	-6.3

Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning			3.3	-0.3	-0.3
Research and Test Reactors			0.2	0.0	0.0
Fuel Facilities	32.0	1.2	6.1	-0.6	0.6
Materials Users	9.0	0.3	2.8	-0.3	0.0
Transportation			0.5	-0.1	-0.0
Uranium Recovery			1.0	<u>-0.1</u>	<u>-0.1</u>
Total	100.0	3.9	100.0	-10.0	-6.1

### 2. Revised Annual Fees.

The NRC is revising its annual fees in §§ 171.15 and 171.16 for FY 2012 to recover approximately 90 percent of the NRC's FY 2012 budget authority, after subtracting the non-fee amounts and the estimated amount to be recovered through 10 CFR part 170 fees. The 10 CFR part 170 collections estimate for this proposed rule is \$371.4 million, an increase of \$2.1 million from the FY 2011 fee rule. The total amount to be recovered through annual fees for this proposed rule is \$529.6 million, a decrease of \$17.3 million from the FY 2011 fee rule. The required annual fee collection in FY 2011 was \$546.9 million.

The Commission has determined (71 FR 30721; May 30, 2006) that the agency should proceed with a presumption in favor of rebaselining when calculating annual fees each year. Under this method, the NRC's budget is analyzed in detail, and budgeted resources are allocated to fee classes and categories of licensees. The Commission expects that for most years there will be budgetary and other changes that warrant the use of the rebaselining method.

As compared with the FY 2011 annual fees, the FY 2012 proposed rebaselined fees are lower for three classes of licensees, operating power reactors, spent fuel storage/reactors decommissioning facilities, and research and test reactors and higher for fuel facilities. Within

the uranium recovery fee class, the annual fees decrease for most licensees. The annual fee increases for most fee categories in the materials users' fee class.

The NRC's total fee recoverable budget, as mandated by law, is \$6.3 million lower in FY 2012 as compared with FY 2011. The FY 2012 budget was allocated to the fee classes that the budgeted activities support. The decrease is primarily due to the lower FY 2012 budget supporting the operating reactors, spent fuel storage, research and test reactors, partially offset by higher FY 2012 budget for uranium recovery facilities, materials users, and fuel facility reviews.

The factors affecting all annual fees include the distribution of budgeted costs to the different classes of licenses (based on the specific activities the NRC will perform in FY 2012), the estimated 10 CFR part 170 collections for the various classes of licenses, and allocation of the fee-relief surplus adjustment to all fee classes. The percentage of the NRC's budget not subject to fee recovery remained at 10 percent from FY 2011 to FY 2012.

Table V shows the rebaselined fees for FY 2012 for a representative list of categories of licensees. The FY 2011 amounts are provided for comparison purposes. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE V. - REBASELINED ANNUAL FEES

	FY 2011	FY 2012
Class/Category of Licenses	Annual Fee	<u>Proposed</u>
		Annual Fee
Operating Power Reactors (Including Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning Annual Fee)	\$4,673,000	\$4,525,000
Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor Decommissioning	\$241,000	\$211,000
Research and Test Reactors (Nonpower Reactors)	\$86,300	\$34,700
High Enriched Uranium Fuel Facility	\$6,085,000	\$6,116,000
Low Enriched Uranium Fuel Facility	\$2,290,000	\$2,302,000

UF <sub>6</sub> Conversion Facility	\$1,243,000	\$1,250,000
Conventional Mills	\$32,300	\$23,600
Typical Materials Users:		
Radiographers (Category 3O)	\$25,700	\$25,900
Well Loggers (Category 5A)	\$10,000	\$10,200
Gauge Users (Category 3P)	\$4,800	\$4,900
Broad Scope Medical (Category 7B)	\$45,400	\$46,100

The work papers that support this proposed rule show in detail the allocation of the NRC's budgeted resources for each class of licenses and how the fees are calculated. The work papers are available at <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> by searching on Docket ID NRC-2011-0207, in ADAMS at ADAMS Accession No. ML12040A341, and in the NRC Library at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a>. The work papers may also be examined at the NRC's PDR located at One White Flint North, Room O1-F22, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

Paragraphs a. through h. of this section describe the budgeted costs allocated to each class of licenses and the calculations of the rebaselined fees. Individual values in the tables presented in this section may not sum to totals due to rounding.

### a. Fuel Facilities.

The FY 2012 budgeted costs to be recovered in the annual fees assessment to the fuel facility class of licenses (which includes licensees in fee categories 1.A.(1)(a), 1.A.(1)(b), 1.A.(2)(a), 1.A.(2)(b), 1.A.(2)(c), 1.E., and 2.A.(1), under § 171.16) are approximately \$29 million. This value is based on the full cost of budgeted resources associated with all activities that support this fee class, which is reduced by estimated 10 CFR part 170 collections and adjusted for allocated generic transportation resources and fee-relief. In FY 2012, the LLW

surcharge for fuel facilities is added to the allocated fee-relief adjustment (see Table IV in Section III.B.1, "Application of Fee-Relief and Low-Level Waste Surcharge," of this document). The summary calculations used to derive this value are presented in Table VI for FY 2012, with FY 2011 values shown for comparison. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE VI. - ANNUAL FEE SUMMARY CALCULATIONS FOR FUEL FACILITIES

[Dollars in millions]

Summary Fee Calculations	FY 2011	FY 2012
	<u>Final</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Total budgeted resources	\$55.7	\$54.4
Less estimated 10 CFR part 170 receipts	<u>-\$26.6</u>	-\$26.6
Net 10 CFR part 171 resources	\$29.1	\$27.8
Allocated generic transportation	+ \$0.6	+\$0.9
Fee-relief adjustment/LLW surcharge	+ \$0.3	+ \$0.6
Billing adjustments	<u>- \$0.0</u>	<u>- \$0.5</u>
Total required annual fee recovery	\$30.1	\$28.7

The decrease in total budgeted resources allocated to this fee class from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is primarily due to decreased support for licensing amendments and rulemaking. The NRC allocates the total required annual fee recovery amount is allocated to the individual fuel facility licensees, based on the effort/fee determination matrix developed for the FY 1999 final fee rule (64 FR 31447; June 10, 1999). In the matrix included in the publicly available NRC work papers, licensees are grouped into categories according to their licensed activities (i.e., nuclear material enrichment, processing operations, and material form) and the level, scope, depth of coverage, and rigor of generic regulatory programmatic effort applicable to each category from a safety and safeguards perspective. This methodology can be applied to

determine fees for new licensees, current licensees, licensees in unique license situations, and certificate holders.

This methodology is adaptable to changes in the number of licensees or certificate holders, licensed or certified material and/or activities, and total programmatic resources to be recovered through annual fees. When a license or certificate is modified, it may result in a change of category for a particular fuel facility licensee, as a result of the methodology used in the fuel facility effort/fee matrix. Consequently, this change may also have an effect on the fees assessed to other fuel facility licensees and certificate holders. For example, if a fuel facility licensee amends its license/certificate (e.g., decommissioning or license termination) that results in it not being subject to 10 CFR part 171 costs applicable to the fee class, then the budgeted costs for the safety and/or safeguards components will be spread among the remaining fuel facility licensees/certificate holders.

The methodology is applied as follows. First, a fee category is assigned, based on the nuclear material and activity authorized by license or certificate. Although a licensee/certificate holder may elect not to fully use a license/certificate, the license/certificate is still used as the source for determining authorized nuclear material possession and use/activity. Second, the category and license/certificate information are used to determine where the licensee/certificate holder fits into the matrix. The matrix depicts the categorization of licensees/certificate holders by authorized material types and use/activities.

Each year, the NRC's fuel facility project managers and regulatory analysts determine the level of effort associated with regulating each of these facilities. This is done by assigning, for each fuel facility, separate effort factors for the safety and safeguards activities associated with each type of regulatory activity. The matrix includes ten types of regulatory activities, including enrichment and scrap/waste-related activities (see the work papers for the complete list). Effort factors are assigned as follows: one (low regulatory effort), five (moderate regulatory

effort), and ten (high regulatory effort). The NRC then totals separate effort factors for safety and safeguard activities for each fee category.

The effort factors for the various fuel facility fee categories are summarized in Table VII. The value of the effort factors shown, as well as the percent of the total effort factor for all fuel facilities, reflects the total regulatory effort for each fee category (not per facility). In FY 2012, the total effort factors for the Limited Operations fee category are being zeroed because the licenses in this fee category were terminated. This results in spreading of costs to other fee categories. The Uranium Enrichment fee category factors have shifted with minimal increases and decreases between safety and safeguards factors compared to FY 2011.

TABLE VII. – EFFORT FACTORS FOR FUEL FACILITIES,

FY 2012

	Number	<u>Effort</u>	Factors
Facility Type (fee category)	<u>of</u>	(percen	t of total)
	<u>Facilities</u>	<u>Safety</u>	<u>Safeguards</u>
High Enriched Uranium Fuel (1.A.(1)(a))	2	89 (38.5)	97 (47.0)
Low Enriched Uranium Fuel (1.A.(1)(b))	3	70 (30.3)	35 (17.0)
Limited Operations (1.A.(2)(a))	0	0(0.0)	0 (0.0)
Gas Centrifuge Enrichment Demonstration (1.A.(2)(b))	1	3 (1.3)	15 (7.3)
Hot Cell (1.A.(2)(c))	1	6 (2.6)	3 (1.5)
Uranium Enrichment (1.E)	2	51 (22.1)	49 (23.8)
UF <sub>6</sub> Conversion (2.A.(1))	1	12 (5.2)	7 (3.4)

For FY 2012, the total budgeted resources for safety activities, before the fee-relief adjustment is made, are \$14.9 million. This amount is allocated to each fee category based on its percent of the total regulatory effort for safety activities. For example, if the total effort factor for safety activities for all fuel facilities is 100, and the total effort factor for safety activities for a

given fee category is 10, that fee category will be allocated 10 percent of the total budgeted resources for safety activities. Similarly, the budgeted resources amount of \$13.3 million for safeguards activities is allocated to each fee category based on its percent of the total regulatory effort for safeguards activities. The fuel facility fee class' portion of the fee-relief adjustment \$0.6 million is allocated to each fee category based on its percent of the total regulatory effort for both safety and safeguards activities. The annual fee per licensee is then calculated by dividing the total allocated budgeted resources for the fee category by the number of licensees in that fee category. The fee (rounded) for each facility is summarized in Table VIII.

TABLE VIII. - ANNUAL FEES FOR FUEL FACILITIES

	FY 2012
Facility Type (fee category)	<u>Proposed</u>
	<u>Annual Fee</u>
High Enriched Uranium Fuel (1.A.(1)(a))	\$6,116,000
Low Enriched Uranium Fuel (1.A.(1)(b))	\$2,302,000
Limited Operations Facility (1.A.(2)(a))	\$0
Gas Centrifuge Enrichment Demonstration (1.A.(2)(b))	\$1,184,000
Hot Cell (and others) (1.A.(2)(c))	\$592,000
Uranium Enrichment (1.E.)	\$3,288,000
UF <sub>6</sub> Conversion (2.A.(1))	\$1,250,000

## b. Uranium Recovery Facilities.

The total FY 2012 budgeted costs to be recovered through annual fees assessed to the uranium recovery class (which includes licensees in fee categories 2.A.(2)(a), 2.A.(2)(b), 2.A.(2)(c), 2.A.(2)(d), 2.A.(2)(e), 2.A.(3), 2.A.(4), 2.A.(5) and 18.B., under § 171.16) are approximately \$1 million. The derivation of this value is shown in Table IX, with FY 2011 values shown for comparison purposes.

# TABLE IX. - ANNUAL FEE SUMMARY CALCULATIONS FOR URANIUM RECOVERY FACILITIES

# [Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011	FY 2012
Summary fee calculations	<u>Final</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Total budgeted resources	\$7.15	\$9.52
Less estimated 10 CFR part 170 receipts	<u>-\$6.09</u>	<u>-\$8.30</u>
Net 10 CFR part 171 resources	\$1.06	\$1.22
Allocated generic transportation	N/A	N/A
Fee-relief adjustment	- \$0.05	-\$0.1
Billing adjustments	\$0.00	<u>-\$0.00</u>
Total required annual fee recovery	\$1.01	\$1.03

The increase in total budgeted resources allocated to this fee class from FY 2011 is primarily due to increased support of licensing activities for new applications and U.S.

Department of Energy's (DOE's) Title I licensing activities underestimated 10 CFR part 170 collections.

Since FY 2002, the NRC has computed the annual fee for the uranium recovery fee class by allocating the total annual fee amount for this fee class between the DOE and the other licensees in this fee class. The NRC regulates DOE's Title I and Title II activities under the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA). The Congress established the two programs, Title I and Title II under UMTRCA, to protect the public and the environment from uranium milling. The UMTRCA Title I program is for remedial action at abandoned mill tailings sites where tailings resulted largely from production of uranium for the weapons program. The NRC also regulates DOE's UMTRCA Title II program, which is directed toward uranium mill sites licensed by the NRC or Agreement States in or after 1978.

In FY 2012, the annual fee assessed to DOE includes recovery of the costs specifically budgeted for the NRC's UMTRCA Title I activities, plus 10 percent of the remaining annual fee amount, including generic/other costs (minus 10 percent of the fee relief adjustment), for the uranium recovery class. The NRC assesses the remaining 90 percent generic/other costs minus 90 percent of the fee relief adjustment, to the other NRC licensees in this fee class that are subject to annual fees.

The costs to be recovered through annual fees assessed to the uranium recovery class are shown in Table X.

TABLE X. - COSTS RECOVERED THROUGH ANNUAL FEES;
URANIUM RECOVERY FEE CLASS

DOE Annual Fee Amount (UMTRCA Title I and Title II) general licenses:	
UMTRCA Title I budgeted costs less 10 CFR part 170 receipts	\$ 751,298
10 percent of generic/other uranium recovery budgeted costs	\$38,509
10 percent of uranium recovery fee-relief adjustment	- \$10,464
Total Annual Fee Amount for DOE (rounded)	\$779,000
Annual Fee Amount for Other Uranium Recovery Licenses:	
90 percent of generic/other uranium recovery budgeted costs less the	
amounts specifically budgeted for Title I activities	\$346,577
90 percent of uranium recovery fee-relief adjustment	<u>- \$94,176</u>
Total Annual Fee Amount for Other Uranium Recovery Licenses	\$252,401

The DOE fee increases by 1 percent in FY 2012 compared to FY 2011 due to slightly higher budgeted resources for UMTRCA Title I activities. The annual fee for other uranium recovery licensees decreases in FY 2012.

The NRC will continue to use a matrix (which is included in the supporting work papers) to determine the level of effort associated with conducting the generic regulatory actions for the

different (non-DOE) licensees in this fee class. The weights derived in this matrix are used to allocate the approximately \$252,000 annual fee amount to these licensees. The use of this uranium recovery annual fee matrix was established in the FY 1995 final fee rule (60 FR 32217; June 20, 1995). The FY 2012 matrix is described as follows.

First, the methodology identifies the categories of licenses included in this fee class (besides DOE). These categories are conventional uranium mills and heap leach facilities, uranium *In Situ* Recovery (ISR) and resin ISR facilities mill tailings disposal facilities (11e.(2) disposal facilities), and uranium water treatment facilities.

Second, the matrix identifies the types of operating activities that support and benefit these licensees. The activities related to generic decommissioning/reclamation are not included in the matrix because they are included in the fee-relief activities. Therefore, they are not a factor in determining annual fees. The activities included in the matrix are operations, waste operations, and groundwater protection. The relative weight of each type of activity is then determined, based on the regulatory resources associated with each activity. The operations, waste operations, and groundwater protection activities have weights of 0, 5, and 10, respectively, in the matrix.

Each year, the NRC determines the level of benefit to each licensee for generic uranium recovery program activities for each type of generic activity in the matrix. This is done by assigning, for each fee category, separate benefit factors for each type of regulatory activity in the matrix. Benefit factors are assigned on a scale of 0 to 10 as follows: zero (no regulatory benefit), five (moderate regulatory benefit), and ten (high regulatory benefit). These benefit factors are first multiplied by the relative weight assigned to each activity (described previously). The NRC then calculates total and per licensee benefit factors for each fee category. These benefit factors thus reflect the relative regulatory benefit associated with each licensee and fee category.

The benefit factors per licensee and per fee category, for each of the non-DOE fee categories included in the uranium recovery fee class, are as follows:

TABLE XI. - BENEFIT FACTORS FOR URANIUM RECOVERY LICENSES

	Number of	Benefit Factor	Total	Benefit Factor
Fee Category	<u>Licensees</u>	Per Licensee	<u>Value</u>	Percent Total
Conventional and Heap Leach mills (2.(A).2.a.)	1	150	150	9
Basic <i>In Situ</i> Recovery facilities (2.(A).2.b.)	5	190	950	59
Expanded <i>In Situ</i> Recovery facilities (2.(A).2.c.)	1	215	215	13
In Situ Recovery Resin facilities (2.(A).2.d.)	1	180	180	11
11e.(2) disposal incidental to existing				
tailings sites (2.(A).4.)	1	65	65	4
Uranium water treatment (2.(A).5.)	1	45	45	3
			1,605	

Applying these factors to the approximately \$252,000 in budgeted costs to be recovered from non-DOE uranium recovery licensees results in the total annual fees for each fee category. The annual fee per licensee is calculated by dividing the total allocated budgeted resources for the fee category by the number of licensees in that fee category, as summarized in Table XII:

TABLE XII. - ANNUAL FEES FOR URANIUM RECOVERY LICENSEES (other than DOE)

Facility Type (fee category)	FY 2012 Proposed
	<u>Annual Fee</u>
Conventional and Heap Leach mills (2.A.(2)(a))	\$23,600
Basic In Situ Recovery facilities (2.A.(2)(b))	\$29,900

Expanded In Situ Recovery facilities (2.A.(2)(c))	\$33,800
In Situ Recovery Resin facilities (2.A.(2)(d))	\$28,300
11e.(2) disposal incidental to existing tailings sites (2.A.(4))	\$10,200
Uranium water treatment (2.A.(5))	\$7,100

# c. Operating Power Reactors.

The \$448.6 million in budgeted costs to be recovered through FY 2012 annual fees assessed to the power reactor class was calculated as shown in Table XIII. The FY 2011 values are shown for comparison. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE XIII. - ANNUAL FEE SUMMARY CALCULATIONS FOR
OPERATING POWER REACTORS
[Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011	FY 2012
Summary Fee Calculations	<u>Final</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Total budgeted resources	\$783.6	\$781.4
Less estimated 10 CFR part 170 receipts	<u>-\$320.6</u>	<u>-\$320.6</u>
Net 10 CFR part 171 resources	\$463.0	\$460.9
Allocated generic transportation	+ \$0.9	+ \$1.3
Fee-relief adjustment/LLW surcharge	- \$3.4	- \$6.3
Billing adjustments	<u>\$ 0.4</u>	<u>-\$ 7.3</u>
Total required annual fee recovery	\$460.9	\$448.6

The annual fee for power reactors decreases in FY 2012 compared to FY 2011 due to higher fee-relief adjustments/LLW surcharges and billing adjustments compared to FY 2011.

The budgeted costs to be recovered through annual fees to power reactors are divided equally

among the 104 power reactors licensed to operate, resulting in an FY 2012 annual fee of \$4,314,000 per reactor. Additionally, each power reactor licensed to operate would be assessed the FY 2012 spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning annual fee of \$211,000. The total FY 2012 annual fee is \$4,525,000 for each power reactor licensed to operate. The annual fees for power reactors are presented in § 171.15.

d. Spent Fuel Storage/Reactors in Decommissioning.

For FY 2012, budgeted costs of \$25.9 million for spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning are to be recovered through annual fees assessed to 10 CFR part 50 power reactors, and to 10 CFR part 72 licensees who do not hold a 10 CFR part 50 license. Those reactor licensees that have ceased operations and have no fuel onsite are not subject to these annual fees. Table XIV shows the calculation of this annual fee amount. The FY 2011 values are shown for comparison. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE XIV. - ANNUAL FEE SUMMARY CALCULATIONS FOR THE SPENT FUEL

STORAGE/REACTOR IN DECOMMISSIONING FEE CLASS

[Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011	FY 2012
Summary Fee Calculations	<u>Final</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Total budgeted resources	\$33.4	\$29.4
Less estimated 10 CFR part 170 receipts	<u>- \$4.0</u>	<u>- \$3.6</u>
Net 10 CFR part 171 resources	\$29.4	\$25.8
Allocated generic transportation	+ \$0.5	+ \$0.7
Fee-relief adjustment	- \$0.2	- \$0.3
Billing adjustments	<u>\$0.0</u>	<u>-\$0.3</u>
Total required annual fee recovery	\$29.7	\$25.9

The value of total budgeted resources for this fee class is lower in FY 2012 than in FY 2011, due to decreased budgeted resources for spent fuel storage licensing and certification activities, higher fee-relief surplus and billing adjustment, and underestimated 10 CFR part 170 collections. The required annual fee recovery amount is divided equally among 123 licensees, resulting in an FY 2012 annual fee of \$211,000 per licensee.

# e. Research and Test Reactors (Nonpower Reactors).

Approximately \$139,000 in budgeted costs is to be recovered through annual fees assessed to the research and test reactor class of licenses for FY 2012. Table XV summarizes the annual fee calculation for research and test reactors for FY 2012. The FY 2011 values are shown for comparison. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE XV. - ANNUAL FEE SUMMARY CALCULATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND TEST REACTORS

# [Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011	FY 2012
Summary Fee Calculations	<u>Final</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Total budgeted resources	\$1.87	\$1.68
Less estimated 10 CFR part 170 receipts	<u>- \$1.54</u>	<u>- \$1.54</u>
Net 10 CFR part 171 resources	\$0.33	\$0.14
Allocated generic transportation	+ \$0.02	+ \$0.03
Fee-relief adjustment	- \$0.01	- \$0.05
Billing adjustments	<u>\$0.00</u>	<u>-\$0.02</u>
Total required annual fee recovery	\$0.35	\$0.13

The decrease in annual fees from FY 2011 to FY 2012 is primarily due to decreased budgetary resources for nonbillable power reactors. The required annual fee recovery amount

is divided equally among the four research and test reactors subject to annual fees and results in an FY 2012 annual fee of \$34,700 for each licensee.

#### f. Rare Earth Facilities.

The agency does not anticipate receiving an application for a rare earth facility this fiscal year, so no budgeted resources are allocated to this fee class, and no annual fee will be published in FY 2012.

# g. Materials Users.

For FY 2012, budget costs of \$30.4 million for material users are to be recovered through annual fees assessed to 10 CFR part 30 licensees. Table XVI shows the calculation of the FY 2012 annual fee amount for materials users licensees. The FY 2011 values are shown for comparison. Note the following fee categories under § 171.16 are included in this fee class: 1.C., 1.D., 2.B., 2.C., 3.A. through 3.S., 4.A. through 4.C., 5.A., 5.B., 6.A., 7.A. through 7.C., 8.A., 9.A. through 9.D., 16, and 17. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE XVI. - ANNUAL FEE SUMMARY CALCULATIONS FOR MATERIALS USERS

[Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011	FY 2012
Summary Fee Calculations	<u>Final</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Total budgeted resources	\$30.0	\$30.6
Less estimated 10 CFR part 170 receipts	<u>- \$1.6</u>	<u>- \$1.6</u>
Net 10 CFR part 171 resources	\$28.5	\$29.0
Allocated generic transportation	+\$1.0	+\$1.5
Fee-relief adjustment/LLW surcharge	- \$0.0	+ \$0.1
Billing adjustments	<u>- \$0.0</u>	<u>- \$0.2</u>
Total required annual fee recovery	\$29.5	\$30.4

The total required annual fees to be recovered from materials licensees increase in FY 2012, mainly because of increases in the budgeted resources allocated to this fee class for oversight activities and a higher LLW surcharge partially offset by higher billing adjustments compared to FY 2011. Annual fees for most fee categories within the materials users' fee class increase.

To equitably and fairly allocate the \$30.4 million in FY 2012 budgeted costs to be recovered in annual fees assessed to the approximately 3,000 diverse materials users licensees, the NRC will continue to base the annual fees for each fee category within this class on the 10 CFR part 170 application fees and estimated inspection costs for each fee category. Because the application fees and inspection costs are indicative of the complexity of the license, this approach continues to provide a proxy for allocating the generic and other regulatory costs to the diverse categories of licenses based on the NRC's cost to regulate each category. This fee calculation also continues to consider the inspection frequency (priority), which is indicative of the safety risk and resulting regulatory costs associated with the categories of licenses.

The annual fee for these categories of materials users' licenses is developed as follows:

Annual fee = Constant x [Application Fee + (Average Inspection Cost divided by Inspection

Priority)] + Inspection Multiplier x (Average Inspection Cost divided by Inspection Priority) +

Unique Category Costs.

The constant is the multiple necessary to recover approximately \$22.2 million in general costs (including allocated generic transportation costs) and is 1.58 for FY 2012. The average inspection cost is the average inspection hours for each fee category multiplied by the hourly rate of \$274. The inspection priority is the interval between routine inspections, expressed in years. The inspection multiplier is the multiple necessary to recover approximately \$8.0 million in inspection costs, and is 2.3 for FY 2012. The unique category costs are any special costs that the NRC has budgeted for a specific category of licenses. For FY 2012, approximately

\$110,000 in budgeted costs for the implementation of revised 10 CFR part 35, Medical Use of Byproduct Material (unique costs), has been allocated to holders of NRC human-use licenses.

The annual fee to be assessed to each licensee also includes a share of the fee-relief surplus adjustment of approximately \$282,000 allocated to the materials users fee class (see Section III.B.1, "Application of Fee-Relief and Low-Level Waste Surcharge," of this document), and for certain categories of these licensees, a share of the approximately \$335,000 in LLW surcharge costs allocated to the fee class. The annual fee for each fee category is shown in § 171.16(d).

## h. Transportation.

Table XVII shows the calculation of the FY 2012 generic transportation budgeted resources to be recovered through annual fees. The FY 2011 values are shown for comparison. (Individual values may not sum to totals due to rounding.)

TABLE XVII. - ANNUAL FEE SUMMARY CALCULATIONS
FOR TRANSPORTATION

#### [Dollars in millions]

	FY 2011	FY 2012
Summary Fee Calculations	<u>Final</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Total budgeted resources	\$7.5	\$9.2
Less estimated 10 CFR part 170 receipts	<u>-\$3.4</u>	<u>-\$3.4</u>
Net 10 CFR part 171 resources	\$4.1	\$5.9

The NRC must approve any package used for shipping nuclear material before shipment. If the package meets NRC requirements, the NRC issues a Radioactive Material Package Certificate of Compliance (CoC) to the organization requesting approval of a package. Organizations are authorized to ship radioactive material in a package approved for use under

the general licensing provisions of 10 CFR part 71, "Packaging and Transportation of Radioactive Material." The resources associated with generic transportation activities are distributed to the license fee classes based on the number of CoCs benefitting (used by) that fee class, as a proxy for the generic transportation resources expended for each fee class.

The total FY 2012 budgeted resources for generic transportation activities including those to support DOE CoCs is \$5.9 million. The increase in 10 CFR part 171 resources in FY 2012 compared to FY 2011 is primarily due to an increase in budgeted resources for transportation regulatory programs. Generic transportation resources associated with feeewempt entities are not included in this total. These costs are included in the appropriate feerelief category (e.g., the fee-relief category for nonprofit educational institutions).

Consistent with the policy established in the NRC's FY 2006 final fee rule (71 FR 30721; May 30, 2006), the NRC will recover generic transportation costs unrelated to DOE as part of existing annual fees for license fee classes. The NRC will continue to assess a separate annual fee under § 171.16, fee Category 18.A., for DOE transportation activities. The amount of the allocated generic resources is calculated by multiplying the percentage of total CoCs used by each fee class (and DOE) by the total generic transportation resources to be recovered.

The distribution of these resources to the license fee classes and DOE is shown in Table XVIII. The distribution is adjusted to account for the licensees in each fee class that are fee-exempt. For example, if 4 CoCs benefit the entire research and test reactor class, but only 4 of 31 research and test reactors are subject to annual fees, the number of CoCs used to determine the proportion of generic transportation resources allocated to research and test reactor annual fees equals (4/31)\*4, or 0.5 CoCs.

TABLE XVIII. - DISTRIBUTION OF GENERIC TRANSPORTATION RESOURCES,

FY 2012

## [Dollars in millions]

	Number CoCs	Percentage	Allocated
	Benefiting Fee	of Total	Generic
<u>License Fee Class/DOE</u>	Class or DOE	<u>CoCs</u>	Transportation
			<u>Resources</u>
Total	87.5	100.0	\$5.86
DOE	21.0	24.0	\$1.41
Operating Power Reactors	20.0	22.9	\$1.34
Operating Fower Reductors	20.0	22.5	Ψ1.5-
Spent Fuel Storage/Reactor	10.0	11.4	\$0.67
Decommissioning			
Research and Test Reactors	0.5	0.6	\$0.03
Fuel Facilities	13.0	14.8	\$0.87
	22.0		<b>0.5</b>
Materials Users	23.0	26.3	\$1.54

The NRC is proposing to assess an annual fee to DOE based on the 10 CFR part 71 CoCs it holds and not allocate these DOE-related resources to other licensees' annual fees, because these resources specifically support DOE. Note that DOE's annual fee includes a reduction for the fee-relief surplus adjustment (see Section III.B.1, "Application of Fee-Relief and Low-Level Waste Surcharge," of this document), resulting in a total annual fee of \$1,309,000 for FY 2012. This fee increase from FY 2011 is primarily related to higher budgeted resources for the NRC's transportation activities.

#### 3. Administrative Amendments.

This rule would make certain administrative changes for clarity:

a. § 171.16(d), revise fee schedule. Under 10 CFR part 170, the descriptions for categories 14A and 14B would be revised to add the phrase "including MMLs" to capture work

activities outside of the category 17 description involving decommissioning actions and activities for MML agencies (i.e., U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs, U.S. Navy, U.S. Air Force) and the fees would be subject to full cost. This methodology would ensure equitable fee distribution among licensees by charging the full cost for services over and above routine oversight activities to specific MMLs while minimizing the financial impact of annual fee distribution for all MMLs for the next biennial review.

- b. Revise import and export licensing descriptions. The import and export licensing fee descriptions are updated for 15.F, 15.G, 15.J, 15K, and 15H for clarity of the rule.
- c. Identify "POL" under 10 CFR 171.17, "Proration," as "possession-only-license;" and
- d. Revise the language for clarity under 10 CFR 171.17(a)(3) and (b)(3) for downgraded licenses.

In summary, the NRC is proposing to make the following changes to 10 CFR part 171:

- 1. Use the NRC's fee-relief surplus to reduce all licensees' annual fees, based on their percentage share of the NRC budget;
  - 2. Establish rebaselined annual fees for FY 2012; and
  - 3. Make administrative changes to §§ 171.16 and 171.17.

## **IV. Plain Writing**

The Plain Writing Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-274) requires Federal agencies to write documents in a clear, concise, well-organized manner that also follows other best practices appropriate to the subject or field and the intended audience. Although regulations are exempt under the Act, the NRC is applying the same principles to its rulemaking documents. Therefore, the NRC has written this document, including the proposed amended and new rule language, to

be consistent with the Plain Writing Act. In addition, where existing rule must be changed, the NRC has rewritten that language to improve its organization and readability. The NRC requests comment on the proposed rule specifically with respect to the clarity and effectiveness of the language used. Comments should be sent to the NRC as explained in the **ADDRESSES** caption of this document.

## V. Availability of Documents

The NRC is making the documents identified below available to interested persons through one or more of the following methods, as indicated. To access documents related to this action, see the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

Document	PDR	Web	ADAMS
FY 2012 Work Papers	X		ML12040A341
Regulatory Flexibility Analysis			ML12046A885
Small Entity Compliance Guide			ML12041A317
NUREG-1100, Volume 27, "Congressional	Х		
Budget Justification: Fiscal Year 2012"			
(February 2011)			
NRC Form 526		Х	

## **VI. Voluntary Consensus Standards**

The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 3701) requires that Federal agencies use technical standards that are developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies, unless using these standards is inconsistent with applicable law or is otherwise impractical. The NRC is proposing to amend the licensing, inspection, and annual fees charged to its licensees and applicants as necessary to recover approximately 90 percent of its budget authority in FY 2012, as required by the OBRA-90, as

amended. This action does not constitute the establishment of a standard that contains generally applicable requirements.

## VII. Environmental Impact: Categorical Exclusion

The NRC has determined that this proposed rule is the type of action described in categorical exclusion 10 CFR 51.22(c)(1). Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an environmental impact statement has been prepared for the proposed rule. By its very nature, this regulatory action does not affect the environment and, therefore, no environmental justice issues are raised.

### **VIII. Paperwork Reduction Act Statement**

This proposed rule does not contain information collection requirements and, therefore, is not subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Public Protection Notification.

The NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a request for information or an information collection requirement, unless the requesting document displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget control number.

## IX. Regulatory Analysis

Under OBRA-90, as amended, and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA), the NRC is required to recover 90 percent of its budget authority, or \$909.5 million in FY 2012.

The NRC established fee methodology guidelines for 10 CFR part 170 in 1978 and more fee methodology guidelines in the establishment of 10 CFR part 171 in 1986. In subsequent rulemakings, the NRC has adjusted its fees by rulemaking without changing the underlying principles of its fee policy in order to ensure that the NRC continues to comply with the statutory requirements for cost recovery in OBRA-90 and the AEA.

In this rulemaking, the NRC proposes to continue this long-standing approach.

Therefore, the NRC did not identify any alternatives to the current fee structure guidelines and did not prepare a regulatory analysis for this rulemaking.

### X. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The NRC is required by the OBRA-90, as amended, to recover approximately 90 percent of its FY 2012 budget authority through the assessment of user fees. The OBRA-90 further requires that the NRC establish a schedule of charges that fairly and equitably allocates the aggregate amount of these charges among licensees.

This proposed rule would establish the schedules of fees necessary for the NRC to recover its budget authority of 90 percent for FY 2012. This proposed rule would result in increases in the annual fees charged to certain licensees and holders of certificates, registrations, and approvals, and in decreases in annual fees charged to others. Licensees affected by the annual fee increases and decreases include those that qualify as a small entity under NRC's size standards in 10 CFR 2.810. Additionally, the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) requires all Federal agencies to prepare a written compliance guide for each rule for which the agency is required by 5 U.S.C. 604 to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis. Therefore, in compliance with the law, the "Small Entity Compliance Guide" is available via ADAMS Accession No. ML12041A317.

### XI. Backfit Analysis

The NRC has determined that the backfit rule, 10 CFR 50.109, does not apply to this proposed rule and that a backfit analysis is not required for this proposed rule. The backfit analysis is not required because these amendments do not require the modification of, or additions to, systems, structures, components, or the design of a facility, or the design approval or manufacturing license for a facility, or the procedures or organization required to design, construct, or operate a facility.

#### List of Subjects

#### 10 CFR Part 170

Byproduct material, Import and export licenses, Intergovernmental relations, Non-payment penalties, Nuclear materials, Nuclear power plants and reactors, Source material, Special nuclear material.

#### 10 CFR Part 171

Annual charges, Byproduct material, Holders of certificates, Registrations, Approvals, Intergovernmental relations, Non-payment penalties, Nuclear materials, Nuclear power plants and reactors, Source material, Special nuclear material.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 553, the NRC is proposing to adopt the following amendments to 10 CFR parts 170 and 171.

PART 170 -- FEES FOR FACILITIES, MATERIALS, IMPORT AND EXPORT LICENSES, AND
OTHER REGULATORY SERVICES UNDER THE ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954, AS
AMENDED

1. The authority citation for part 170 continues to read as follows:

**Authority**: Section 9701, Pub. L. 97-258, 96 Stat. 1051 (31 U.S.C. 9701); sec. 301, Pub. L. 92-314, 86 Stat. 227 (42 U.S.C. 2201w); sec. 201, Pub. L. 93-438, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841); sec. 205a, Pub. L. 101-576, 104 Stat. 2842, as amended (31 U.S.C. 901, 902); sec. 1704, 112 Stat. 2750 (44 U.S.C. 3504 note), sec. 623, Pub. L. 109-58, 119 Stat. 783 (42 U.S.C. 2201(w)); sec. 651(e), Pub. L. 109-58, 119 Stat. 806-810 (42 U.S.C. 2014, 2021, 2021b, 2111).

2. Section 170.20 is revised to read as follows:

#### § 170.20 Average cost per professional staff-hour.

Fees for permits, licenses, amendments, renewals, special projects, 10 CFR part 55 requalification and replacement examinations and tests, other required reviews, approvals, and inspections under §§ 170.21 and 170.31 will be calculated using the professional staff-hour rate of \$274 per hour.

3. In § 170.21, in the table, the heading for fee category G and fee category K are revised to read as follows:

§ 170.21 Schedule of fees for production or utilization facilities, review of standard referenced design approvals, special projects, inspections, and import and export licenses.

\* \* \* \* \*

## **SCHEDULE OF FACILITY FEES**

## [See footnotes at end of table]

Facility categories and type of fees	Fees <sup>1, 2</sup>
* * * *	
G. Other Production or Utilization Facility:	
K. Import and export licenses:	
Licenses for the import and export only of production or utilization	
facilities or the export only of components for production or utilization	
facilities issued under 10 CFR part 110.	
Application for import or export of production or utilization	
facilities <sup>4</sup> (including reactors and other facilities) and exports of	
components requiring Commission and Executive Branch review,	
for example, actions under 10 CFR 110.40(b).	
Application new license, or amendment; or license exemption request	\$17,800
Application for export of reactor and other components requiring	
Executive Branch review, for example, those actions under 10 CFR	
110.41(a).	
Application new license, or amendment; or license exemption request	\$9,600
Application for export of components requiring the assistance of	
the Executive Branch to obtain foreign government assurances.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license exemption request	\$4,400
Application for export of facility components and equipment not	
requiring Commission or Executive Branch review, or obtaining	
foreign government assurances.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license exemption request	\$2,700
5. Minor amendment of any active export or import license, for	
example, to extend the expiration date, change domestic	
information, or make other revisions which do not involve any	
substantive changes to license terms or conditions or to the type of	
facility or component authorized for export and therefore, do not	
require in-depth analysis or review or consultation with the	
Executive Branch, U.S. host state, or foreign government	
authorities.	
Minor amendment to license	\$1,400

<sup>1</sup> Fees will not be charged for orders related to civil penalties or other civil sanctions issued by the Commission under § 2.202 of this chapter or for amendments resulting specifically from the requirements of these orders. For orders unrelated to civil penalties or other civil sanctions, fees will be charged for any resulting licensee-specific activities not otherwise exempted from fees under this chapter. Fees will be charged for approvals issued under a specific exemption provision of the Commission's regulations under Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (e.g., 10 CFR 50.12, 10 CFR 73.5) and any other sections in effect now or in the future, regardless of whether the approval is in the form of a license amendment, letter of approval, safety evaluation report, or other form.

<sup>2</sup> Full cost fees will be determined based on the professional staff time and appropriate contractual support services expended. For applications currently on file and for which fees are determined based on the full cost expended for the review, the professional staff hours expended for the review of the application up to the effective date of the final rule will be determined at the professional rates in effect when the service was provided. For those applications currently on file for which review costs have reached an applicable fee ceiling established by the June 20, 1984, and July 2, 1990, rules, but are still pending completion of the review, the cost incurred after any applicable ceiling was reached through January 29, 1989, will not be billed to the applicant. Any professional staff-hours expended above those ceilings on or after January 30, 1989, will be assessed at the applicable rates established by § 170.20, as appropriate, except for topical reports whose costs exceed \$50,000. Costs which exceed \$50,000 for any topical report, amendment, revision, or supplement to a topical report completed or under review from January 30, 1989, through August 8, 1991, will not be billed to the applicant. Any professional hours expended on or after August 9, 1991, will be assessed at the applicable rate established in § 170.20.

\* \* \* \* \*

4. In § 170.31, the table is revised to read as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Imports only of major components for end-use at NRC-licensed reactors are now authorized under NRC general import license.

§ 170.31 Schedule of fees for materials licenses and other regulatory services, including inspections and import and export licenses.

\* \* \* \* \*

# **SCHEDULE OF MATERIALS FEES**

# [See footnotes at end of table]

Category of materials licenses and type of fees <sup>1</sup>	Fee <sup>2, 3</sup>
Special nuclear material:	
A. (1) Licenses for possession and use of U-235 or	
plutonium for fuel fabrication activities.	
(a) Strategic Special Nuclear Material (High	
Enriched Uranium) [Program Code(s): 21130]	Full Cost
(b) Low Enriched Uranium in Dispersible Form	
Used for Fabrication of Power Reactor Fuel	
[Program Code(s): 21210]	Full Cost
(2) All other special nuclear materials licenses not	
included in Category 1.A.(1) which are licensed for	
fuel cycle activities.	
(a) Facilities with limited operations [Program Code(s):	
21310, 21320]	Full Cost
(b) Gas centrifuge enrichment demonstration facilities	Full Cost
(c) Others, including hot cell facilities	Full Cost
B. Licenses for receipt and storage of spent fuel and	
reactor-related Greater than Class C (GTCC) waste	
at an independent spent fuel storage installation	
(ISFSI) [Program Code(s): 23200]	Full Cost
C. Licenses for possession and use of special nuclear	
material in sealed sources contained in devices used in	
industrial measuring systems, including x-ray	
fluorescence analyzers.4	
Application [Program Code(s): 22140]	\$1,300
D. All other special nuclear material licenses, except	
licenses authorizing special nuclear material in unsealed	
form in combination that would constitute a critical	
quantity, as defined in § 150.11 of this chapter, for which	
the licensee shall pay the same fees as those under	
Category 1.A. <sup>4</sup>	
Application [Program Code(s): 22110, 22111, 22120,	
22131, 22136, 22150, 22151, 22161, 22163, 22170,	
23100, 23300, 23310]	\$2,500
E. Licenses or certificates for construction and operation	
of a uranium enrichment facility [Program Code(s):	
21200]	Full Cost

2. Source material:	
A. (1) Licenses for possession and use of source	
material for refining uranium mill concentrates to	
uranium hexafluoride [Program Code(s): 11400]	Full Cost
(2) Licenses for possession and use of source	1 411 8631
material in recovery operations such as milling, in-situ	
recovery, heap-leaching, ore buying stations, ion-	
exchange facilities, and in processing of ores	
containing source material for extraction of metals	
other than uranium or thorium, including licenses	
authorizing the possession of byproduct waste	
material (tailings) from source material recovery	
operations, as well as licenses authorizing the	
possession and maintenance of a facility in a standby	
mode.	
(a) Conventional and Heap Leach facilities [Program Code(s):	
11100]	Full Cost
(b) Basic <i>In Situ</i> Recovery facilities [Program Code(s): 11500]	Full Cost
(c) Expanded <i>In Situ</i> Recovery facilities [Program Code(s):	
11510]	Full Cost
(d) In Situ Recovery Resin facilities [Program Code(s):	
11550]	Full Cost
(e) Resin Toll Milling facilities [Program Code(s): 11555]	Full Cost
(f) Other facilities [Program Code(s): 11700]	Full Cost
(3) Licenses that authorize the receipt of byproduct	
material, as defined in Section 11e.(2) of the Atomic	
Energy Act, from other persons for possession and	
disposal, except those licenses subject to the fees in	
Category 2.A.(2) or Category 2.A.(4) [Program	
Code(s): 11600, 12000]	Full Cost
(4) Licenses that authorize the receipt of byproduct	
material, as defined in Section 11e.(2) of the Atomic	
Energy Act, from other persons for possession and	
disposal incidental to the disposal of the uranium	
waste tailings generated by the licensee's milling	
operations, except those licenses subject to the fees	
in Category 2.A.(2) [Program Code(s): 12010]	Full Cost
(5) Licenses that authorize the possession of source	
material related to removal of contaminants (source	
material) from drinking water [Program Code(s):	
11820]	Full Cost
B. Licenses which authorize the possession, use, and/or	
installation of source material for shielding.	
Application [Program Code(s): 11210]	\$600
C. All other source material licenses.	
Application [Program Code(s): 11200, 11220, 11221,	
11230, 11300, 11800, 11810]	\$5,400

3. Byproduct material:	
A. Licenses of broad scope for the possession and use	
of byproduct material issued under parts 30 and 33 of	
this chapter for processing or manufacturing of items	
containing byproduct material for commercial	
distribution.	
Application [Program Code(s): 03211, 03212, 03213]	\$12,800
B. Other licenses for possession and use of byproduct	
material issued under part 30 of this chapter for	
processing or manufacturing of items containing	
byproduct material for commercial distribution.	
Application [Program Code(s): 03214, 03215, 22135, 22162]	\$4,400
C. Licenses issued under §§ 32.72 and/or 32.74 of this	
chapter that authorize the processing or manufacturing	
and distribution or redistribution of radiopharmaceuticals,	
generators, reagent kits, and/or sources and devices	
containing byproduct material. This category does not	
apply to licenses issued to nonprofit educational	
institutions whose processing or manufacturing is	
exempt under § 170.11(a)(4).	
Application [Program Code(s): 02500, 02511, 02513]	\$6,500
D. [Reserved]	N/A
E Licenses for processing and use of hymnodyset	
E. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct	
material in sealed sources for irradiation of materials in	
which the source is not removed from its shield (self-	
shielded units).	¢2 200
Application [Program Code(s): 03510, 03520]	\$3,200
F. Licenses for possession and use of less than 10,000	
curies of byproduct material in sealed sources for	
irradiation of materials in which the source is exposed for	
irradiation purposes. This category also includes	
underwater irradiators for irradiation of materials where	
the source is not exposed for irradiation purposes.	\$6,400
Application [Program Code(s): 03511]	\$6,400
G. Licenses for possession and use of 10,000 curies or more of byproduct material in sealed sources for	
irradiation of materials in which the source is exposed for	
irradiation of materials in which the source is exposed for irradiation purposes. This category also includes	
underwater irradiators for irradiation of materials where	
the source is not exposed for irradiation purposes.	
Application [Program Code(s): 03521]	\$61,200
Application in Togram Code(3). 0002 ij	Ψ01,200

\$4,300
ψ 1,000
<b>*</b> * * <b>*</b> * * <b>*</b> * * <b>*</b> * * <b>*</b> * <b>*</b> * * <b>*</b> * * * *
\$11,500
\$2,000
\$1,100
φ1,100
<b>A</b>
\$5,400

\$3,500
\$6,400
\$4,000
\$1,500
\$400
\$2,500
·
\$1,500
· ·
\$6,500
· ·
Full Cost

C. Other licenses issued under parts 30, 35, 40, and 70	
of this chapter for human use of byproduct material,	
source material, and/or special nuclear material, except	
licenses for byproduct material, source material, or	
special nuclear material in sealed sources contained in	
teletherapy devices.	
Application [Program Code(s): 02120, 02121, 02200,	
02201, 02210, 02220, 02230, 02231, 02240, 22160]	\$2,700
8. Civil defense:	, ,
A. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct	
material, source material, or special nuclear material for	
civil defense activities.	
Application [Program Code(s): 03710]	\$2,500
Device, product, or sealed source safety evaluation:	Ψ2,000
A. Safety evaluation of devices or products containing	
byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear	
material, except reactor fuel devices, for commercial	
distribution.	
Application each device	\$7,700
B. Safety evaluation of devices or products containing	\$7,700
byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear	
material manufactured in accordance with the unique	
specifications of, and for use by, a single applicant,	
except reactor fuel devices.	Ф0.000
Application each device	\$8,900
C. Safety evaluation of sealed sources containing	
byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear	
material, except reactor fuel, for commercial distribution.	<b>D</b> 40.400
Application each source	\$10,400
D. Safety evaluation of sealed sources containing	
byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear	
material, manufactured in accordance with the unique	
specifications of, and for use by, a single applicant,	
except reactor fuel.	
Application each source	\$1,040
10. Transportation of radioactive material:	
A. Evaluation of casks, packages, and shipping	
containers.	
Spent Fuel, High-Level Waste, and plutonium air	
packages	Full Cost
2. Other Casks	Full Cost
B. Quality assurance program approvals issued under	
part 71 of this chapter.	
1. Users and Fabricators.	
Application	\$3,900
Inspections	Full Cost
2. Users.	
Application	\$3,900
Inspections	Full Cost

C. Evaluation of security plans, route approvals, route	
surveys, and transportation security devices (including	
immobilization devices).	Full Cost
11. Review of standardized spent fuel facilities.	Full Cost
12. Special projects:	
Including approvals, preapplication/licensing activities, and	Full Coot
inspections.	Full Cost Full Cost
13. A. Spent fuel storage cask Certificate of Compliance.	Full Cost
B. Inspections related to storage of spent fuel under § 72.210 of this chapter.	Full Cost
14. A. Byproduct, source, or special nuclear material	1 dii Oost
licenses and other approvals authorizing	
decommissioning, decontamination, reclamation, or site	
restoration activities under parts 30, 40, 70, 72, and 76 of	
this chapter, including MMLs.	Full Cost
B. Site-specific decommissioning activities associated	
with unlicensed sites, including MMLs, regardless of	
whether or not the sites have been previously licensed.	Full Cost
15. Import and Export licenses:	
Licenses issued under part 110 of this chapter for the	
import and export only of special nuclear material, source	
material, tritium and other byproduct material, and the export only of heavy water, or nuclear grade graphite (fee	
categories 15.A. through 15.E.).	
A. Application for export or import of nuclear materials,	
including radioactive waste requiring Commission and	
Executive Branch review, for example, those actions	
under 10 CFR 110.40(b).	
Application new license, or amendment; or	
license exemption request	\$17,800
B. Application for export or import of nuclear material,	
including radioactive waste, requiring Executive Branch	
review, but not Commission review. This category	
includes applications for the export and import of	
radioactive waste and requires NRC to consult with	
domestic host state authorities (i.e., Low-Level Radioactive Waste Compact Commission, the U.S.	
Environmental Protection Agency, etc.).	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	
exemption request	\$9,600
C. Application for export of nuclear material, for	+ - ,
example, routine reloads of low enriched uranium	
reactor fuel and/or natural uranium source material	
requiring the assistance of the Executive Branch to	
obtain foreign government assurances.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	*****
exemption request	\$4,400

D. Application for export or import of nuclear material,	
including radioactive waste, not requiring Commission or	
Executive Branch review, or obtaining foreign	
government assurances. This category includes	
applications for export or import of radioactive waste	
where the NRC has previously authorized the export or	
import of the same form of waste to or from the same or	
similar parties located in the same country, requiring	
only confirmation from the receiving facility and licensing	
authorities that the shipments may proceed according to	
previously agreed understandings and procedures.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	
	\$2,700
exemption request	\$2,700
E. Minor amendment of any active export or import	
license, for example, to extend the expiration date,	
change domestic information, or make other revisions	
which do not involve any substantive changes to license	
terms and conditions or to the type/quantity/chemical	
composition of the material authorized for export and,	
therefore, do not require in-depth analysis, review, or	
consultations with other Executive Branch, U.S. host	
·	
state, or foreign government authorities.	<b>#4</b> 400
Minor amendment	\$1,400
Licenses issued under part 110 of this chapter for the	
import and export only of Category 1 and Category 2	
quantities of radioactive material listed in Appendix P to	
part 110 of this chapter (fee categories 15.F. through	
15.R.).	
Category 1 (Appendix P, 10 CFR part 110) Exports:	
F. Application for export of Appendix P Category 1	
materials requiring Commission review (e.g. exceptional	
circumstance review under 10 CFR 110.42(e)(4)) and to	
obtain one government-to-government consent for this	
process. For additional consent see 15. H.).	\$15,100
Application new license, or amendment; or license	
exemption request	
G. Application for export of Appendix P Category 1	
material requiring Executive Branch review and to obtain	
one government-to-government consent for this process.	
For additional consents see 15. H.	
	Фо ооо
Application new license, or amendment; or	\$8,800
license exemption request	
H. Requests for additional government-to-government consent	
requests in support of an export license application or active	
export.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	\$5,500
exemption request	
E TO PART OF HARM	i

I Paguagte for additional dovarnment-to-dovarnment	
I. Requests for additional government-to-government	
consents in support of an export license application or	
active export license.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	
exemption request	\$270
Category 2 (Appendix P, 10 CFR part 110) Exports:	
J. Application for export of Appendix P Category 2	
materials requiring Commission review (e.g. exceptional	
circumstance review under 10 CFR 110.42(e)(4)).	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	
exemption request	\$15,100
K Applications for expert of Appendix B Cotegory 2 meterials	
K. Applications for export of Appendix P Category 2 materials	
requiring Executive Branch.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	
exemption request	
	\$8,800
L. Application for the export of Category 2 materials.	
Application new license, or amendment; or license	
exemption request	\$5,500
·	
M. [Reserved]	N/A
N. (D d)	NI/A
N. [Reserved]	N/A
O. [Reserved]	N/A
P. [Reserved]	N/A
Q. [Reserved]	N/A
Minor Amendments (Category 1 and 2, Appendix P, 10 CFR	
part 110, Export and Imports):	
R. Minor amendment of any active export license, for	
example, to extend the expiration date, change domestic	
information, or make other revisions which do not involve	
any substantive changes to license terms and conditions	
or to the type/quantity/chemical composition of the	
material authorized for export and, therefore, do not	
require in-depth analysis, review, or consultations with	
other Executive Branch, U.S. host state, or foreign	
authorities.	
Minor amendment	
	\$1,400
16. Reciprocity:	
Agreement State licensees who conduct activities under	
the reciprocity provisions of 10 CFR 150.20.	
Application	\$2,300
	ψ2,300
17. Master materials licenses of broad scope issued to	
Government agencies.	

Application [Program Code(s): 03614]	Full Cost
18. Department of Energy.	
A. Certificates of Compliance. Evaluation of casks,	
packages, and shipping containers (including spent fuel,	
high-level waste, and other casks, and plutonium air	
packages).	Full Cost
B. Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act	
(UMTRCA) activities.	Full Cost

<sup>1</sup>Types of fees - Separate charges, as shown in the schedule, will be assessed for preapplication consultations and reviews; applications for new licenses, approvals, or license terminations; possession-only licenses; issuances of new licenses and approvals; certain amendments and renewals to existing licenses and approvals; safety evaluations of sealed sources and devices; generally licensed device registrations; and certain inspections. The following guidelines apply to these charges:

- (a) Application and registration fees. Applications for new materials licenses and export and import licenses; applications to reinstate expired, terminated, or inactive licenses, except those subject to fees assessed at full costs; applications filed by Agreement State licensees to register under the general license provisions of 10 CFR 150.20; and applications for amendments to materials licenses that would place the license in a higher fee category or add a new fee category must be accompanied by the prescribed application fee for each category.
- (1) Applications for licenses covering more than one fee category of special nuclear material or source material must be accompanied by the prescribed application fee for the highest fee category.
- (2) Applications for new licenses that cover both byproduct material and special nuclear material in sealed sources for use in gauging devices will pay the appropriate application fee for fee Category 1.C. only.
- (b) *Licensing fees*. Fees for reviews of applications for new licenses, renewals, and amendments to existing licenses, preapplication consultations and other documents submitted to the NRC for review, and project manager time for fee categories subject to full cost fees are due upon notification by the Commission in accordance with § 170.12(b).
- (c) Amendment fees. Applications for amendments to export and import licenses must be accompanied by the prescribed amendment fee for each license affected. An application for an amendment to an export or import license or approval classified in more than one fee category must be accompanied by the prescribed amendment fee for the category affected by the amendment, unless the amendment is applicable to two or more fee categories, in which case the amendment fee for the highest fee category would apply.

- (d) *Inspection fees*. Inspections resulting from investigations conducted by the Office of Investigations and nonroutine inspections that result from third-party allegations are not subject to fees. Inspection fees are due upon notification by the Commission in accordance with § 170.12(c).
- (e) Generally licensed device registrations under 10 CFR 31.5. Submittals of registration information must be accompanied by the prescribed fee.

<sup>2</sup> Fees will not be charged for orders related to civil penalties or other civil sanctions issued by the Commission under 10 CFR 2.202 or for amendments resulting specifically from the requirements of these orders. For orders unrelated to civil penalties or other civil sanctions, fees will be charged for any resulting licensee-specific activities not otherwise exempted from fees under this chapter. Fees will be charged for approvals issued under a specific exemption provision of the Commission's regulations under Title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (e.g., 10 CFR 30.11, 40.14, 70.14, 73.5, and any other sections in effect now or in the future), regardless of whether the approval is in the form of a license amendment, letter of approval, safety evaluation report, or other form. In addition to the fee shown, an applicant may be assessed an additional fee for sealed source and device evaluations as shown in Categories 9.A. through 9.D.

<sup>3</sup> Full cost fees will be determined based on the professional staff time multiplied by the appropriate professional hourly rate established in § 170.20 in effect when the service is provided, and the appropriate contractual support services expended. For applications currently on file for which review costs have reached an applicable fee ceiling established by the June 20, 1984, and July 2, 1990, rules, but are still pending completion of the review, the cost incurred after any applicable ceiling was reached through January 29, 1989, will not be billed to the applicant. Any professional staff-hours expended above those ceilings on or after January 30, 1989, will be assessed at the applicable rates established by § 170.20, as appropriate, except for topical reports for which costs exceed \$50,000. Costs which exceed \$50,000 for each topical report, amendment, revision, or supplement to a topical report completed or under review from January 30, 1989, through August 8, 1991, will not be billed to the applicant. Any professional hours expended on or after August 9, 1991, will be assessed at the applicable rate established in § 170.20.

<sup>4</sup> Licensees paying fees under Categories 1.A., 1.B., and 1.E. are not subject to fees under Categories 1.C. and 1.D. for sealed sources authorized in the same license, except for an application that deals only with the sealed sources authorized by the license.

<sup>5</sup> Persons who possess radium sources that are used for operational purposes in another fee category are not also subject to the fees in this category. (This exception does not apply if the radium sources are possessed for storage only.)

PART 171 -- ANNUAL FEES FOR REACTOR LICENSES AND FUEL CYCLE LICENSES

AND MATERIALS LICENSES, INCLUDING HOLDERS OF CERTIFICATES OF

COMPLIANCE, REGISTRATIONS, AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM APPROVALS

AND GOVERNMENT AGENCIES LICENSED BY THE NRC

5. The authority citation for part 171 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Section 7601, Pub. L. 99-272, 100 Stat. 146, as amended by sec. 5601, Pub. L. 100-203, 101 Stat. 1330, as amended by sec. 3201, Pub. L. 101-239, 103 Stat. 2132, as amended by sec. 6101, Pub. L. 101-508, 104 Stat. 1388, as amended by sec. 2903a, Pub. L. 102-486, 106 Stat. 3125 (42 U.S.C. 2213, 2214), and as amended by Title IV, Pub. L. 109-103, 119 Stat. 2283 (42 U.S.C. 2214); sec. 301, Pub. L. 92-314, 86 Stat. 227 (42 U.S.C. 2201w); sec. 201, Pub. L. 93-438, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841); sec. 1704, 112 Stat. 2750 (44 U.S.C. 3504 note), sec. 651(e), Pub. L. 109-58, 119 Stat. 806-810 (42 U.S.C. 2014, 2021, 2021b, 2111).

6. In § 171.15, paragraph (b)(1), the introductory text of paragraph (b)(2), paragraph (c)(1), the introductory text of paragraphs (c)(2) and (d)(1), and paragraphs (d)(2), (d)(3), and (e) are revised to read as follows:

§ 171.15 Annual fees: Reactor licenses and independent spent fuel storage licenses.

\* \* \* \* \*

- (b)(1) The FY 2012 annual fee for each operating power reactor which must be collected by September 30, 2012, is \$4,525,000.
- (2) The FY 2012 annual fee is comprised of a base annual fee for power reactors licensed to operate, a base spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning annual fee, and associated additional charges (fee-relief adjustment). The activities comprising the spent storage/reactor decommissioning base annual fee are shown in paragraphs (c)(2)(i) and (ii) of this section. The activities comprising the FY 2012 fee-relief adjustment are shown in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. The activities comprising the FY 2012 base annual fee for operating power reactors are as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

- (c)(1) The FY 2012 annual fee for each power reactor holding a 10 CFR part 50 license that is in a decommissioning or possession-only status and has spent fuel onsite, and for each independent spent fuel storage 10 CFR part 72 licensee who does not hold a 10 CFR part 50 license, is \$211,000.
- (2) The FY 2012 annual fee is comprised of a base spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning annual fee (which is also included in the operating power reactor annual fee shown in paragraph (b) of this section) and an additional charge (fee-relief adjustment). The activities comprising the FY 2012 fee-relief adjustment are shown in paragraph (d)(1) of this section. The activities comprising the FY 2012 spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning rebaselined annual fee are:

\* \* \* \* \*

(d)(1) The fee-relief adjustment allocated to annual fees includes a surcharge for the activities listed in paragraph (d)(1)(i) of this section, plus the amount remaining after total budgeted resources for the activities included in paragraphs (d)(1)(ii) and (d)(1)(iii) of this section are reduced by the appropriations the NRC receives for these types of activities. If the NRC's appropriations for these types of activities are greater than the budgeted resources for the activities included in paragraphs (d)(1)(ii) and (d)(1)(iii) of this section for a given FY, annual fees will be reduced. The activities comprising the FY 2012 fee-relief adjustment are as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

- (2) The total FY 2012 fee-relief adjustment allocated to the operating power reactor class of licenses is a \$6.3 million fee-relief surplus, not including the amount allocated to the spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning class. The FY 2012 operating power reactor fee-relief adjustment to be assessed to each operating power reactor is approximately a \$60,055 fee relief surplus. This amount is calculated by dividing the total operating power reactor fee-relief surplus adjustment, \$6.3 million, by the number of operating power reactors (104).
- (3) The FY 2012 fee-relief adjustment allocated to the spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning class of licenses is a \$331,202 fee-relief surplus. The FY 2012 spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning fee-relief adjustment to be assessed to each operating power reactor, each power reactor in decommissioning or possession-only status that has spent fuel onsite, and to each independent spent fuel storage 10 CFR part 72 licensee who does not hold a 10 CFR part 50 license, is a \$2,693 fee-relief surplus. This amount is calculated by dividing the total fee-relief adjustment costs allocated to this class by the total number of power reactor

licenses, except those that permanently ceased operations and have no fuel onsite, and 10 CFR part 72 licensees who do not hold a 10 CFR part 50 license.

(e) The FY 2012 annual fees for licensees authorized to operate a research and test (nonpower) reactor licensed under part 50 of this chapter, unless the reactor is exempted from fees under § 171.11(a), are as follows:

Research reactor	\$34,700
Test reactor	\$34,700

7. In § 171.16, paragraph (d) and the introductory text of paragraph (e) are revised to read as follows:

§ 171.16 Annual fees: Materials licensees, holders of certificates of compliance, holders of sealed source and device registrations, holders of quality assurance program approvals, and government agencies licensed by the NRC.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) The FY 2012 annual fees are comprised of a base annual fee and an allocation for fee-relief adjustment. The activities comprising the FY 2012 fee-relief adjustment are shown for convenience in paragraph (e) of this section. The FY 2012 annual fees for materials licensees and holders of certificates, registrations, or approvals subject to fees under this section are shown in the following table:

# **SCHEDULE OF MATERIALS ANNUAL FEES**

## AND FEES FOR GOVERNMENT AGENCIES LICENSED BY NRC

# [See footnotes at end of table]

Category of materials licenses	Annual fees <sup>1, 2, 3</sup>
Special nuclear material:	
A. (1) Licenses for possession and use of U-235 or plutonium for fuel	
fabrication activities.	
(a) Strategic Special Nuclear Material (High Enriched Uranium)	
[Program Code(s): 21130]	\$6,1116,000
(b) Low Enriched Uranium in Dispersible Form Used	
for Fabrication of Power Reactor Fuel [Program	Фо ооо ооо
Code(s): 21210]	\$2,302,000
(2) All other special nuclear materials licenses not included in Category 1.A.(1) which are licensed for fuel cycle activities.	
(a) Facilities with limited operations [Program Code(s): 21310,	<del>.</del> .
21320]	N/A <sup>5</sup>
(b) Gas centrifuge enrichment demonstration facilities	\$1,184,000
(c) Others, including hot cell facilities	\$592,000
B. Licenses for receipt and storage of spent fuel and reactor-related	
Greater than Class C (GTCC) waste at an independent spent fuel storage	N1/A 11
installation (ISFSI) [Program Code(s): 23200]	N/A <sup>11</sup>
C. Licenses for possession and use of special nuclear material in sealed sources contained in devices used in	
industrial measuring systems, including x-ray fluorescence	\$3,600
analyzers [Program Code(s): 22140]  D. All other special nuclear material licenses, except	\$3,000
licenses authorizing special nuclear material in unsealed	
form in combination that would constitute a critical	
quantity, as defined in § 150.11 of this chapter, for which	
the licensee shall pay the same fees as those for Category	
1.A.(2) [Program Code(s): 22110, 22111, 22120, 22131,	
22136, 22150, 22151, 22161, 22163, 22170, 23100,	
23300, 23310]	\$7,300
E. Licenses or certificates for the operation of a uranium enrichment	. ,
facility [Program Code(s): 21200]	\$3,288,000
2. Source material:	
A. (1) Licenses for possession and use of source material for refining	
uranium mill concentrates to uranium hexafluoride [Program Code(s):	
11400]	\$1,250,000

(2) Licenses for possession and use of source material in recovery	
operations such as milling, in-situ recovery, heap-leaching, ore buying	
stations, ion-exchange facilities and in-processing of ores containing	
source material for extraction of metals other than uranium or thorium,	
including licenses authorizing the possession of byproduct waste	
material (tailings) from source material recovery operations, as well as	
licenses authorizing the possession and maintenance of a facility in a	
standby mode.	
(a) Conventional and Heap Leach facilities [Program Code(s):	
11100]	\$23,600
(b) Basic In Situ Recovery facilities [Program Code(s):	
11500]	\$29,900
(c) Expanded In Situ Recovery facilities [Program Code(s):	+ -,
11510]	\$33,800
	ψ33,000
(d) In Situ Recovery Resin facilities [Program Code(s):	
11550]	\$28,300
(e) Resin Toll Milling facilities [Program Code(s): 11555]	N/A <sup>5</sup>
(f) Other facilities <sup>4</sup> [Program Code(s): 11700]	N/A <sup>5</sup>
(3) Licenses that authorize the receipt of byproduct material, as	1,7,1
defined in Section 11e.(2) of the Atomic Energy Act, from other	
persons for possession and disposal, except those licenses subject to	
the fees in Category 2.A.(2) or Category 2.A.(4) [Program Code(s):	
11600, 12000]	N/A <sup>5</sup>
(4) Licenses that authorize the receipt of byproduct	
material, as defined in Section 11e.(2) of the Atomic Energy	
Act, from other persons for possession and disposal	
incidental to the disposal of the uranium waste tailings	
generated by the licensee's milling operations, except those	
licenses subject to the fees in Category 2.A.(2) [Program	
Code(s): 12010]	\$10,200
(5) Licenses that authorize the possession of source material related to	ψ. 5, <u>– 50</u>
removal of contaminants (source material) from drinking water	Φ7.400
[Program Code(s): 11820]	\$7,100
B. Licenses that authorize only the possession, use, and/or installation of	
source material for shielding [Program Code(s): 11210]	\$1,800
C. All other source material licenses [Program Code(s):	
11200, 11220, 11221, 11230, 11300, 11800, 11810]	\$12,400
	Ψ12,700
3. Byproduct material:	
A. Licenses of broad scope for possession and use of byproduct material	
issued under parts 30 and 33 of this chapter for processing or	
manufacturing of items containing byproduct material for commercial	
distribution [Program Code(s): 03211, 03212, 03213]	\$43,500
B. Other licenses for possession and use of byproduct	Ţ :=,= <b>0</b>
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
material issued under part 30 of this chapter for processing	
or manufacturing of items containing byproduct material for	
commercial distribution [Program Code(s): 03214, 03215,	
22135, 22162]	\$12,400
	. , ,

C. Licenses issued under §§ 32.72 and/or 32.74 of this chapter	
authorizing the processing or manufacturing and distribution or	
redistribution of radiopharmaceuticals, generators, reagent kits, and/or	
sources and devices containing byproduct material. This category also	
includes the possession and use of source material for shielding	
authorized under part 40 of this chapter when included on the same	
license. This category does not apply to licenses issued to nonprofit	
educational institutions whose processing or manufacturing is exempt	
under § 171.11(a)(1). [Program Code(s): 02500, 02511, 02513]	\$16,900
	N/A <sup>5</sup>
D. [Reserved]	IN/A
E. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material in sealed	
sources for irradiation of materials in which the source is not removed	<b>#0.400</b>
from its shield (self-shielded units) [Program Code(s): 03510, 03520]	\$9,100
F. Licenses for possession and use of less than 10,000 curies of	
byproduct material in sealed sources for irradiation of materials in which	
the source is exposed for irradiation purposes. This category also	
includes underwater irradiators for irradiation of materials in which the	
source is not exposed for irradiation purposes [Program Code(s): 03511]	\$15,500
G. Licenses for possession and use of 10,000 curies or more of	
byproduct material in sealed sources for irradiation of materials in which	
the source is exposed for irradiation purposes. This category also	
includes underwater irradiators for irradiation of materials in which the	\$140,900
source is not exposed for irradiation purposes [Program Code(s): 03521]	<b>*</b> * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
H. Licenses issued under subpart A of part 32 of this chapter to distribute	
items containing byproduct material that require device review to persons	
exempt from the licensing requirements of part 30 of this chapter, except	
specific licenses authorizing redistribution of items that have been	
authorized for distribution to persons exempt from the licensing	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$8,300
requirements of part 30 of this chapter [Program Code(s): 03254, 03255]	ψ0,300
I. Licenses issued under subpart A of part 32 of this chapter to distribute	
items containing byproduct material or quantities of byproduct material	
that do not require device evaluation to persons exempt from the	
licensing requirements of part 30 of this chapter, except for specific	
licenses authorizing redistribution of items that have been authorized for	
distribution to persons exempt from the licensing requirements of part 30	
of this chapter [Program Code(s): 03250, 03251, 03252, 03253, 03256]	\$20,200
J. Licenses issued under subpart B of part 32 of this chapter to distribute	
items containing byproduct material that require sealed source and/or	
device review to persons generally licensed under part 31 of this chapter,	
except specific licenses authorizing redistribution of items that have been	
authorized for distribution to persons generally licensed under part 31 of	
this chapter [Program Code(s): 03240, 03241, 03243]	\$4,800
K. Licenses issued under subpart B of part 32 of this chapter to distribute	
items containing byproduct material or quantities of byproduct material	
that do not require sealed source and/or device review to persons	
generally licensed under part 31 of this chapter, except specific licenses	
authorizing redistribution of items that have been authorized for	
distribution to persons generally licensed under part 31 of this chapter	
[Program Code(s): 03242, 03244]	\$3,200
[ [1 10grain 00uc(3). 03242, 03244]	ψ3,200

L. Licenses of broad scope for possession and use of byproduct material	
issued under parts 30 and 33 of this chapter for research and	
development that do not authorize commercial distribution [Program	
Code(s): 01100, 01110, 01120, 03610, 03611, 03612, 03613]	\$14,700
M. Other licenses for possession and use of byproduct material issued	, ,
under part 30 of this chapter for research and development that do not	
authorize commercial distribution [Program Code(s): 03620]	\$8,700
N. Licenses that authorize services for other licensees, except: (1)	
Licenses that authorize only calibration and/or leak testing services are	
subject to the fees specified in fee Category 3.P.; and (2) Licenses that	
authorize waste disposal services are subject to the fees specified in fee	
categories 4.A., 4.B., and 4.C. [Program Code(s): 03219, 03225, 03226]	\$14,900
O. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material issued under	
part 34 of this chapter for industrial radiography operations. This	
category also includes the possession and use of source material for	
shielding authorized under part 40 of this chapter when authorized on the	
same license [Program Code(s): 03310, 03320]	\$25,900
P. All other specific byproduct material licenses, except those in	
Categories 4.A. through 9.D. [Program Code(s): 02400, 02410, 03120,	
03121, 03122, 03123, 03124, 3140, 3130, 03220, 03221, 03222, 03800,	
03810, 22130]	\$4,900
Q. Registration of devices generally licensed under part 31 of this chapter	N/A <sup>13</sup>
R. Possession of items or products containing radium–226 identified in	
10 CFR 31.12 which exceed the number of items or limits specified in	
that section: <sup>14</sup>	
1. Possession of quantities exceeding the number of items or limits in	
10 CFR 31.12(a)(4), or (5) but less than or equal to 10 times the	¢0.000
number of items or limits specified [Program Code(s): 02700]	\$9,000
2. Possession of quantities exceeding 10 times the number of items or	
limits specified in 10 CFR 31.12(a)(4), or (5) [Program Code(s): 02710]	\$4,900
S. Licenses for production of accelerator-produced radionuclides	\$4,900
[Program Code(s): 03210]	\$15,500
Waste disposal and processing:	\$10,000
A. Licenses specifically authorizing the receipt of waste byproduct	
material, source material, or special nuclear material from other persons	
for the purpose of contingency storage or commercial land disposal by	
the licensee; or licenses authorizing contingency storage of low-level	
radioactive waste at the site of nuclear power reactors; or licenses for	
receipt of waste from other persons for incineration or other treatment,	
packaging of resulting waste and residues, and transfer of packages to	
another person authorized to receive or dispose of waste material	
[Program Code(s): 03231, 03233, 03235, 03236, 06100, 06101]	N/A <sup>5</sup>
B. Licenses specifically authorizing the receipt of waste byproduct	
material, source material, or special nuclear material from other persons	
for the purpose of packaging or repackaging the material. The licensee	
will dispose of the material by transfer to another person authorized to	
receive or dispose of the material [Program Code(s): 03234]	\$32,000

C. Licenses specifically authorizing the receipt of prepackaged waste	
byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material from	
other persons. The licensee will dispose of the material by transfer to	
another person authorized to receive or dispose of the material [Program	
Code(s): 03232]	\$14,900
5. Well logging:	
A. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material, source	
material, and/or special nuclear material for well logging, well surveys,	
and tracer studies other than field flooding tracer studies [Program	
Code(s): 03110, 03111, 03112]	\$10,200
B. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material	
for field flooding tracer studies [Program Code(s): 03113]	N/A <sup>5</sup>
6. Nuclear laundries:	
A. Licenses for commercial collection and laundry of items contaminated	
with byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear material	
[Program Code(s): 03218]	\$46,100
7. Medical licenses:	
A. Licenses issued under 10 CFR parts 30, 35, 40, and 70 of this chapter	
for human use of byproduct material, source material, or special	
nuclear material in sealed sources contained in gamma stereotactic	
radiosurgery units, teletherapy devices, or similar beam therapy	
devices. This category also includes the possession and use of	
source material for shielding when authorized on the same license	
[Program Code(s): 02300, 02310]	\$17,900
B. Licenses of broad scope issued to medical institutions or two or more	
physicians under 10 CFR parts 30, 33, 35, 40, and 70 of this chapter	
authorizing research and development, including human use of	
byproduct material, except licenses for byproduct material, source	
material, or special nuclear material in sealed sources contained in	
teletherapy devices. This category also includes the possession and	
use of source material for shielding when authorized on the same	
license. <sup>9</sup> [Program Code(s): 02110]	\$46,100
C. Other licenses issued under 10 CFR parts 30, 35, 40, and 70 of this	
chapter for human use of byproduct material, source material, and/or	
special nuclear material, except licenses for byproduct material, source	
material, or special nuclear material in sealed sources contained in	
teletherapy devices. This category also includes the possession and	
use of source material for shielding when authorized on the same	
license. <sup>9</sup> [Program Code(s): 02120, 02121, 02200, 02201, 02210,	_
02220, 02230, 02231, 02240, 22160]	\$8,600
8. Civil defense:	
A. Licenses for possession and use of byproduct material, source	
material, or special nuclear material for civil defense activities	<b>.</b>
[Program Code(s): 03710]	\$9,000
9. Device, product, or sealed source safety evaluation:	
A. Registrations issued for the safety evaluation of devices or products	
containing byproduct material, source material, or special nuclear	<b>*</b> * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
material, except reactor fuel devices, for commercial distribution	\$12,000

B. Registrations issued for the safety evaluation of devices	
or products containing byproduct material, source	
material, or special nuclear material manufactured in	
accordance with the unique specifications of, and for	
use by, a single applicant, except reactor fuel devices	\$13,900
C. Registrations issued for the safety evaluation of sealed	+ -,
sources containing byproduct material, source material,	
or special nuclear material, except reactor fuel, for	
commercial distribution	\$16,200
D. Registrations issued for the safety evaluation of sealed	ψ.ο,200
sources containing byproduct material, source material,	
or special nuclear material, manufactured in accordance	
with the unique specifications of, and for use by, a	
single applicant, except reactor fuel	\$1,600
10. Transportation of radioactive material:	Ψ1,000
A. Certificates of Compliance or other package approvals issued for	
design of casks, packages, and shipping containers.	
	N/A <sup>6</sup>
Spent Fuel, High-Level Waste, and plutonium air packages     Other Cooks	
2. Other Casks	N/A <sup>6</sup>
B. Quality assurance program approvals issued under10 CFR part 71 of	
this chapter.	N1/A6
1. Users and Fabricators	N/A <sup>6</sup>
2. Users	N/A <sup>6</sup>
C. Evaluation of security plans, route approvals, route	
surveys, and transportation security devices (including	6
immobilization devices)	N/A <sup>6</sup>
11. Standardized spent fuel facilities	N/A <sup>6</sup>
12. Special Projects	N/A <sup>6</sup>
13. A. Spent fuel storage cask Certificate of Compliance	N/A <sup>6</sup>
B. General licenses for storage of spent fuel under	
10 CFR 72.210	N/A <sup>12</sup>
14. Decommissioning/Reclamation:	
A. Byproduct, source, or special nuclear material licenses and other	
approvals authorizing decommissioning, decontamination, reclamation,	
or site restoration activities under10 CFR parts 30, 40, 70, 72, and 76	N/A <sup>7</sup>
of this chapter, including MMLs	
B. Site-specific decommissioning activities associated with	
unlicensed sites, including MMLs, whether or not the	
sites have been previously licensed	N/A <sup>7</sup>
15. Import and Export licenses	N/A <sup>8</sup>
16. Reciprocity	N/A <sup>8</sup>
17. Master materials licenses of broad scope issued to Government	
agencies [Program Code(s): 03614]	\$485,000
18. Department of Energy:	ψ.30,000
A. Certificates of Compliance	\$1,309,000 <sup>10</sup>
B. Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA)	ψ1,000,000
activities	\$779,000
activities	φ119,000

-\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> Annual fees will be assessed based on whether a licensee held a valid license with the NRC authorizing possession and use of radioactive material during the current FY. The annual fee is waived for those materials licenses and holders of certificates, registrations, and approvals who either filed for termination of their licenses or approvals or filed for possession only/storage licenses before October 1, 2011, and permanently ceased licensed activities entirely before this date. Annual fees for licensees who filed for termination of a license, downgrade of a license, or for a possession-only license during the FY and for new licenses issued during the FY will be prorated in accordance with the provisions of § 171.17. If a person holds more than one license, certificate, registration, or approval, the annual fee(s) will be assessed for each license, certificate, registration, or approval held by that person. For licenses that authorize more than one activity on a single license (e.g., human use and irradiator activities), annual fees will be assessed for each category applicable to the license. Licensees paying annual fees under Category 1.A.(1) are not subject to the annual fees for Categories 1.C. and 1.D. for sealed sources authorized in the license.

<sup>2</sup> Payment of the prescribed annual fee does not automatically renew the license, certificate, registration, or approval for which the fee is paid. Renewal applications must be filed in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR parts 30, 40, 70, 71, 72, or 76 of this chapter.

<sup>3</sup> Each FY, fees for these materials licenses will be calculated and assessed in accordance with § 171.13 and will be published in the *Federal Register* for notice and comment.

<sup>6</sup> Standardized spent fuel facilities, 10 CFR parts 71 and 72 Certificates of Compliance and related Quality Assurance program approvals, and special reviews, such as topical reports, are not assessed an annual fee because the generic costs of regulating these activities are primarily attributable to users of the designs, certificates, and topical reports.

<sup>7</sup>Licensees in this category are not assessed an annual fee because they are charged an annual fee in other categories while they are licensed to operate.

<sup>8</sup> No annual fee is charged because it is not practical to administer due to the relatively short life or temporary nature of the license.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Other facilities include licenses for extraction of metals, heavy metals, and rare earths.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> There are no existing NRC licenses in these fee categories. If NRC issues a license for these categories, the Commission will consider establishing an annual fee for this type of license.

<sup>9</sup> Separate annual fees will not be assessed for pacemaker licenses issued to medical institutions that also hold nuclear medicine licenses under Categories 7.B. or 7.C.

<sup>10</sup> This includes Certificates of Compliance issued to the Department of Energy that are not funded from the Nuclear Waste Fund.

<sup>11</sup> See § 171.15(c).

<sup>12</sup> See § 171.15(c).

<sup>13</sup> No annual fee is charged for this category because the cost of the general license registration program applicable to licenses in this category will be recovered through 10 CFR part 170 fees.

<sup>14</sup> Persons who possess radium sources that are used for operational purposes in another fee category are not also subject to the fees in this category. (This exception does not apply if the radium sources are possessed for storage only.)

(e) The fee-relief adjustment allocated to annual fees includes the budgeted resources for the activities listed in paragraph (e)(1) of this section, plus the total budgeted resources for the activities included in paragraphs (e)(2) and (e)(3) of this section, as reduced by the appropriations NRC receives for these types of activities. If the NRC's appropriations for these types of activities are greater than the budgeted resources for the activities included in paragraphs (e)(2) and (e)(3) of this section for a given FY, a negative fee-relief adjustment (or annual fee reduction) will be allocated to annual fees. The activities comprising the FY 2012 fee-relief adjustment are as follows:

\* \* \* \* \*

8. In § 171.17, paragraphs (a)(2), (a)(3), and (b)(3)(i) are revised to read as follows:

§ 171.17 Proration.

\* \* \* \* \*

(a)\*\*\*

- (2) Terminations. The base operating power reactor annual fee for operating reactor licensees who have requested amendment to withdraw operating authority permanently during the FY will be prorated based on the number of days during the FY the license was in effect before docketing of the certifications for permanent cessation of operations and permanent removal of fuel from the reactor vessel or when a final legally effective order to permanently cease operations has come into effect. The spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning annual fee for reactor licensees who permanently cease operations and have permanently removed fuel from the site during the FY will be prorated on the basis of the number of days remaining in the FY after docketing of both the certifications of permanent cessation of operations and permanent removal of fuel from the site. The spent fuel storage/reactor decommissioning annual fee will be prorated for those 10 CFR part 72 licensees who do not hold a 10 CFR part 50 license who request termination of the 10 CFR part 72 license and permanently cease activities authorized by the license during the FY based on the number of days the license was in effect before receipt of the termination request. The annual fee for materials licenses with annual fees of \$100,000 or greater for a single fee category for the current FY will be prorated based on the number of days remaining in the FY when a termination request or a request for a possession-only license is received by the NRC, provided the licensee permanently ceased licensed activities during the specified period.
- (3) Downgraded licenses. The annual fee for a materials license with an annual fee of \$100,000 or greater for a single fee category for the current FY, that is subject to fees under this part and downgraded on or after October 1 of a FY, is automatically prorated by the agency on the basis of the number of days remaining in the FY when the application for downgrade is received and approved by the NRC, provided the licensee permanently ceased the stated activities during the specified period.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b)\*\*\*

(3) \*\*\*

(i) The annual fee for a materials license that is subject to fees under this part and downgraded on or after October 1 of a FY is automatically prorated on the basis of the date when the application for downgrade is received and approved by the NRC, provided the licensee permanently ceased the stated activities during the specified period.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 24th day of February, 2012

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

J. E. Dyer Chief Financial Officer

[FR Doc. 2012-6153 Filed 03/14/2012 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 03/15/2012]